arXiv:math/0407507v1 [math.AT] 29 Jul 2004

Higher monodromy

P. Polesello and I. Waschkies

Abstract

For a given category C and a topological space X, the constant stack on X with stalk C is the stack of locally constant sheaves with values in C. Its global objects are classified by their monodromy, a functor from the Poincaré groupoid $\Pi_1(X)$ to C. In this paper we recall these notions from the point of view of higher category theory and then define the 2-monodromy of a locally constant stack with values in a 2-category $\mathbf{C}$ as a 2-functor from the homotopy 2-groupoid $\Pi_2(X)$ to $\mathbf{C}$. We show that 2-monodromy classifies locally constant stacks on a reasonably well-behaved space X. As an application, we show how to recover from this classification the cohomological version of a classical theorem of Hopf, and we extend it to the non abelian case.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 14A20, 55P99, 18G50

Contents

1 Locally constant sheaves with values in a category 4
1.1 Locally constant sheaves and their operations 4
1.2 The monodromy functor 10
1.3 Degree 1 non abelian cohomology with constant coefficients 16

2 Classification of locally constant stacks 18
2.1 The 2-monodromy 2-functor 19
2.2 Classifying locally constant stacks 23
2.3 Degree 2 non abelian cohomology with constant coefficients 29

A The stack of sheaves with values in a complete category 35

B The 2-stack of stacks with values in a 2-complete 2-category 39

Introduction

A classical result in algebraic topology is the classification of the coverings of a (reasonably well-behaved) path-connected topological space X by means of representations of its fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$. In the language of sheaves this reads as an equivalence between the category of locally constant sheaves of sets on X and that of representations of $\pi_1(X)$ on the stalk. The equivalence is given by the functor which assignes to each locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ with stalk S its monodromy $\mu(\mathcal{F})\colon \pi_1(X) \to \mathrm{Aut}(S)$.

Now, let C be a category. It makes sense to consider the monodromy representations in C, in other words functors from the Poincaré groupoid $\Pi_1(X)$ to C. One would then say that they classify "locally constant sheaves on X with stalk in the category C" even if there do not exist any sheaves with values in C. To state this assertion more precisely, one needs the language of stacks of Grothendieck and Giraud. A stack is, roughly speaking, a sheaf of categories and one may consider the constant stack C_X on X with stalk the category C (if C is the category of sets, one recovers the stack of locally constant sheaves of sets). Then one defines a local system on X with values in C to be a global section of the constant stack C_X. The monodromy functor establishes (for a locally relatively 1-connected space X) an equivalence of categories between global sections of C_X and functors $\Pi_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{C}$.

A question naturally arises: what classifies stacks on X which are locally constant? Or, on the other side, which geometrical objects are classified by representations (*i.e.* 2-functors) of the homotopy 2-groupoid $\Pi_2(X)$? In this paper, we define a locally constant stack with values in a 2-category $\mathbf{C}$ as a global section of the constant 2-stack $\mathbf{C}_X$ and give an explicit construction of the 2-monodromy of such a stack as a 2-functor $\Pi_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. We will show that, for locally relatively 2-connected topological spaces, a locally constant stack is uniquely determined (up to equivalence) by its 2-monodromy. We then use this result to recover the cohomological version of a classical theorem of Hopf, relating the second cohomology group with constant coeffiecients of X to its first and second homotopy group, and we extend it to the non abelian case.

During the preparation of this work, a paper [13] of B. Toen appeared, where a similar result about locally constant ∞-stacks and their ∞-monodromy is established. His approach is different from ours, since we do not use any model category theory and any simplicial techniques, but only classical 2-category (and enriched higher category) theory. Moreover, since we are only interested in the degree 2 monodromy, we need weaker hypothesis on the space X than *loc.cit.*, where the author works on the category of pointed and connected CW-complexes.

This paper is organised as follows. In Chapter 1 we recall some basic notions of stack theory and give a functorial construction of the classical monodromy. Our approach appears at first view to be rather heavy, as we use more language and machinery in our definition as is usually done when one considers just monodromy for sheaves of sets or abelian groups. The reason for our category theoretical approach is to motivate the construction of 2-monodromy (and to give a good idea how one could define n-monodromy of a locally constant n-stack with values in an n-category, for all n). As a byproduct we get the classification of locally constant sheaves with values in finite categories (*e.g.* in the category defined by a group) which yields an amusing way to recover some non abelian versions of the "Hurewicz's formula", relating the first non abelian cohomology set with constant coefficients to representations of the fundamental group.

In Chapter 2 we introduce the 2-monodromy 2-functor of a locally constant stack with values in a 2-category. This construction is analogous to our approach to 1-monodromy, but the diagrams which should be checked for commutativity become rather large. One reason for our lengthy tale on 1-monodromy is to give good evidence to believe in our formulae, since we do not have the space to write down detailed proofs. We also describe the 2-monodromy as a descent datum on the loop space at a fixed point. Finally, we give some explicit calculations about the classification of gerbes with locally constant bands. This is related to Giraud's second non abelian cohomology set with constant coeffiecients.

In Appendix A we review the definition of the stack of sheaves with values in a complete category and in Appendix B the definition of the 2-stack of stacks with values in a 2-complete 2-category.

Aknowledgement. We wish to thank Denis-Charles Cisinski for useful discussions and insights.

Notations and conventions

We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic notions of classical category theory, as those of category[1], functor between categories, transformation between functors (also called morphism of functors), equivalence of categories, monoidal category and monoidal functor. We will also use some notions from higher category theory, as 2-categories, 2-functors, 2-transformations, modifications, 2-limits and 2-colimits. Moreover, we will look at 3-categories, 3-functors, etc., but only in the context of a "category enriched in 2-categories" which is much more elementary than the general theory of n-categories for $n \geqslant 3$. References are made to [1, 11, 12][2]. For an elementary introduction to 2-limits and 2-colimits, see [14].

We use the symbols C, D, etc., to denote categories. If C is a category, we denote by $\mathrm{Ob}\,\mathsf{C}$ (resp. $\pi_0(\mathsf{C})$) the collection of its objects (resp. of isomorphism classes of its objects), and by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(P, Q)$ the set of morphisms between the objects P and Q (if $\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{Set}$, the category of all small sets, we will write $\mathrm{Hom}\,(P, Q)$ instead of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Set}}(P, Q)$). For a category C, its opposite category is denoted by C^{op}.

We use the symbols $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$, etc., to denote 2-categories. If $\mathbf{C}$ is a 2-category, we denote by $\mathrm{Ob}\,\mathbf{C}$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\mathbf{C})$) the collection of its objects (resp. of equivalence classes of its objects), and by $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q})$ the small category of 1-arrows between the objects P and Q (if $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$, the strict 2-category of all small categories, we will use the shorter notation $\mathsf{Hom}\,(\mathsf{C}, \mathsf{D})$ to denote the category of functors between C and D). Given two 2-categories $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$, the 2-category of 2-functors from $\mathbf{C}$ to $\mathbf{D}$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{Hom}\,(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$. If G is a commutative group, we will use the notation $\mathbf{Cat}_G$ for the 2-category of G-

---

[1] There are a few well-known set-theoretical problems that arise in the deinition of a category. A convenient way to overcome these difficulties has been proposed by Grothendieck using the notion of a universe, and without saying so explicitly, we will work in this framework.

[2] Note that 2-categories are called bicategories by some authors, for whom a 2-category is what we call here a strict 2-category. A 2-functor is sometimes called a pseudo-functor.

linear categories and $\mathsf{Hom}_G(\mathsf{C},\mathsf{D})$ for the G-linear category of G-linear functors. If $\mathbf{C}$ is a 2-category, $\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ denotes its opposite 2-category, that is $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathrm{P},\mathrm{Q}) = \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{Q},\mathrm{P})$. Moreover, for any object $\mathrm{Q} \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathbf{C}$, we set[3] $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{Q}) = \pi_0(\mathsf{Aut}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{Q}))$, where $\mathsf{Aut}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{Q})$ denotes the monoidal category of auto-equivalences (*i.e* 1-arrows which are invertible up to a 2-arrow) of Q in $\mathbf{C}$. Note that the group $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{Q})$ acts on the commutative group $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{Q}) = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathsf{Aut}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{Q})}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Q}})$ by conjugation. If there is no risk of confusion, for a category (resp. G-linear category) C, we will use the shorter notations $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathsf{C})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Pic}_G(\mathsf{C})$) and $\mathrm{Z}(\mathsf{C})$. This last group is usually called the center of C.

1 Locally constant sheaves with values in a category

1.1 Locally constant sheaves and their operations

Let X be a topological space. We denote by $\mathbf{PSt}(X)$ (resp. $\mathbf{St}(X)$) the strict 2-category of prestacks (resp. stacks) of categories on X. For the definition of a stack on a topological space, see Appendix B. The classical references are Giraud's book [9] and [SGA1, exposé VI], and a more recent one is [6]. A lighter presentation may be also found in [7].

Recall that, as for presheaves, to any prestack $\mathfrak{S}$ on X one naturally associates a stack $\mathfrak{S}^{\ddagger}$. Precisely, one has the following

Proposition 1.1.1. *The forgetful 2-functor*

$$\mathsf{For}:\ \mathbf{St}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{PSt}(X)$$

has a 2-left adjoint 2-functor

$$\ddagger:\ \mathbf{PSt}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{St}(X).$$

Let us fix an adjunction 2-transformation

$$\eta_X:\ \mathsf{Id}_{\mathbf{PSt}(X)} \longrightarrow \mathsf{For} \circ \ \ddagger.$$

Note that there is an obvious fully faithful[4] 2-functor of 2-categories $\mathbf{Cat} \longrightarrow \mathbf{PSt}(X)$ which associates to a category C the constant prestack on X with stalk C.

Definition 1.1.2. Let C be a category. The constant stack on X with stalk C is the image of C by the 2-functor

$$(\,\cdot\,)_X\colon \mathbf{Cat} \longrightarrow \mathbf{PSt}(X) \xrightarrow{\ddagger} \mathbf{St}(X).$$

---

[3] This is consistent with the classical notion of Picard group. Indeed, if R is a ring and $\mathsf{Mod}(R)$ the category of its left modules, by the Morita theorem the group $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathsf{Mod}(R))$ is isomorphic to the Picard group of R.

[4] Recall that a 2-functor $\mathsf{F}\colon \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$ is faithful (resp. full, resp. fully faithful) if for any objects $\mathrm{P},\mathrm{Q} \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathbf{C}$, the induced functor $\mathsf{F}\colon \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{P},\mathrm{Q}) \to \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathsf{F}(\mathrm{P}),\mathsf{F}(\mathrm{Q}))$ is faithful (resp. full and essentially surjective, resp. an equivalence of categories).

Note that the 2-functor $(\,\cdot\,)_X$ preserves faithful and fully faithful functors (hence sends (full) subcategories to (full) substacks). Moreover, the 2-transformation η_X induces on global sections a natural faithful functor

$$\eta_{X,\mathsf{C}}\colon \mathsf{C} \longrightarrow \mathsf{C}_X(X).$$

Definition 1.1.3. An object $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathsf{C}_X(X)$ is called a local system on X with values in C. A local system is constant with stalk M if it is isomorphic to $\eta_{X,\mathsf{C}}(M)$ for some object $M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathsf{C}$.

Let $\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{Set}$, the category of all small sets (resp. $\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{Mod}(A)$, the category of left A-modules for some ring A). Then it is easy to see that C_X is naturally equivalent to the stack of locally constant sheaves of sets (resp. A_X-module). Moreover the functor $\eta_{X,\mathsf{C}}\colon \mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{C}_X(X)$ is canonically equivalent to the functor which associates to a set S (resp. an A-module M) the constant sheaf on X with stalk S (resp. M). More generally one can easily prove the following proposition:

Proposition 1.1.4. *Let* C *be a complete category*[5] *and* X *a locally connected topological space. Denote by* $\mathfrak{LcSh}_X(\mathsf{C})$ *the full substack of the stack* $\mathfrak{Sh}_X(\mathsf{C})$ *of sheaves with values in* C*, whose objects are locally constant. Then there is a natural equivalence of stacks*

$$\mathsf{C}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{LcSh}_X(\mathsf{C}).$$

For a detailed construction of the stacks $\mathfrak{Sh}_X(\mathsf{C})$ and $\mathfrak{LcSh}_X(\mathsf{C})$, see Appendix A.

Remark 1.1.5. Suppose now that C is a category that is not necessarily complete. The category $\widehat{\mathsf{C}} = \mathsf{Hom}\,(\mathsf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathsf{Set})$ is complete (and cocomplete) and the Yoneda embedding

$$Y\colon \mathsf{C} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathsf{C}}$$

commutes to small limits. Then one usually defines sheaves with values in C as presheaves that are sheaves in the category $\mathsf{PSh}_X(\widehat{\mathsf{C}})$. Note that in general there does not exist a sheaf with values in C (take for example C the category of finite sets), but if $\mathsf{C} \neq \varnothing$ then the category $\mathsf{C}_X(X)$ of local systems with values in C is always non-empty. Still, even in that case, we will sometimes refer to a local system as a locally constant sheaf. More precisely we get a fully faithful functor of stacks

$$Y_X\colon \mathsf{C}_X \longrightarrow (\widehat{\mathsf{C}})_X \simeq \mathfrak{LcSh}_X(\widehat{\mathsf{C}}).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an object of $\mathfrak{LcSh}_X(\widehat{\mathsf{C}})$ on some open set. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is in the essential image of Y_X if and only if all of its stalks are representable.

Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a continuous map of topological spaces, $\mathfrak{C}$ a prestack on X and $\mathfrak{D}$ a prestack on Y.

---

[5] Recall that a complete category is a category admitting all small limits.

Notation 1.1.6. (i) Denote by $f_*\mathfrak{S}$ the prestack on Y such that, for any open set $V \subset Y$, $f_*\mathfrak{S}(V) = \mathfrak{S}(f^{-1}(V))$. If $\mathfrak{S}$ is a stack on X, then $f_*\mathfrak{S}$ is a stack on Y.

(ii) Denote by $f_p^{-1}\mathfrak{D}$ the prestack on X such that, for any open set $U \subset X$, $f_p^{-1}\mathfrak{D}(U) = \underset{f(U)\subset V}{2\varinjlim}\, \mathfrak{D}(V)$. If $\mathfrak{D}$ is a stack on Y, we set $f^{-1}\mathfrak{D} = (f_p^{-1}\mathfrak{D})^{\ddagger}$.

Recall that the category $\underset{f(U)\subset V}{2\varinjlim}\, \mathfrak{D}(V)$ is described as follows:

$$\operatorname{Ob} \underset{f(U)\subset V}{2\varinjlim}\, \mathfrak{D}(V) = \bigsqcup_{f(U)\subset V} \operatorname{Ob}\mathfrak{D}(V),$$
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\underset{f(U)\subset V}{2\varinjlim}\, \mathfrak{D}(V)}(G_V, G_{V'}) = \varinjlim_{f(U)\subset V''\subset V\cap V'} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}(V'')}(G_V|_{V''}, G_{V'}|_{V''}).$$

Proposition 1.1.7. *The 2-functors*

$$f_*\colon \mathbf{St}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{St}(Y) \qquad f^{-1}\colon \mathbf{St}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathbf{St}(X)$$

are 2-adjoint, f_* being the right 2-adjoint of f^{-1}.

Moreover, if $g\colon Y \to Z$ is another continuous map, one has natural equivalences[6] of 2-functors
$$g_* \circ f_* \simeq (g\circ f)_*, \qquad f^{-1}\circ g^{-1} \simeq (g\circ f)^{-1}.$$

Since the following diagram commutes up to equivalence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathbf{PSt}(Y) \arrow[r, "\ddagger"] \arrow[d, "f_p^{-1}"] & \mathbf{St}(Y) \arrow[d, "f^{-1}"] \\
\mathbf{Cat} \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & \\
 & \mathbf{PSt}(X) \arrow[r, "\ddagger"] & \mathbf{St}(X),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.1.1}$$

the 2-functor f^{-1} preserves constant stacks (up to natural equivalence).

Definition 1.1.8. Denote by $\Gamma(X,\,\cdot\,)$ the 2-functor of global sections

$$\mathbf{St}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat} \quad ; \quad \mathfrak{S} \mapsto \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{S}) = \mathfrak{S}(X)$$

and set $\Gamma_X = \Gamma(X, \cdot) \circ (\cdot)_X$.

---

[6] For sake of simplicity, here and in the sequel we use the word "equivalence" for a 2-transformation which is invertible up to a modification. In the case of the inverse image, to be natural means that if we consider the continuous maps $h = f_3 \circ f_2 \circ f_1$, $g_1 = f_2 \circ f_1$ and $g_2 = f_3 \circ f_2$, then the two equivalences $h^{-1} \simeq f_1^{-1} g_2^{-1} \simeq f_1^{-1} f_2^{-1} f_3^{-1}$ and $h^{-1} \simeq g_1^{-1} f_3^{-1} \simeq f_1^{-1} f_2^{-1} f_3^{-1}$ are naturally isomorphic by a modification, in the sense that, if we look at the continuous map $k = f_4 \circ f_3 \circ f_2 \circ f_1$, we get the obvious commutative diagram of modifications.

Note that for any stack $\mathfrak{S}$, the category $\mathfrak{S}(\varnothing)$ is the terminal object in **Cat** (which consists of precisely one morphism). Hence the 2-functor

$$\mathsf{\Gamma}(\{\mathrm{pt}\},\cdot)\colon \ \mathbf{St}(\{\mathrm{pt}\}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$$

is an equivalence of 2-categories. We easily deduce

Proposition 1.1.9. *The 2-functor* $\mathsf{\Gamma}(X,\,\cdot\,)$ *is right 2-adjoint to* $(\,\cdot\,)_X$.

It is not hard to see that we may choose the functors $\eta_{X,\mathsf{C}}\colon \mathsf{C} \longrightarrow \mathsf{\Gamma}(X,\mathsf{C}_X)$ to define the adjunction 2-transformation

$$\eta_X\colon \mathsf{Id}_{\mathbf{Cat}} \longrightarrow \mathsf{\Gamma}_X.$$

Consider the commutative diagram of topological spaces

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[rr, "f"] \arrow[dr, "a_X"'] & & Y \arrow[dl, "a_Y"] \\ & \{\mathrm{pt}\} & \end{tikzcd}$$

and the induced 2-transformation of 2-functors

$$a_{Y*}\circ(\cdot)_Y \longrightarrow a_{Y*}\circ f_*\circ f^{-1}\circ(\cdot)_Y \simeq a_{X*}\circ f^{-1}\circ(\cdot)_Y \simeq a_{X*}\circ(\cdot)_X.$$

Hence we get a 2-transformation of 2-functors f^{-1} compatible with η_X and η_Y, *i.e.* such that the following diagram commutes up to a natural invertible modification:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathsf{\Gamma}_Y \arrow[rr, "f^{-1}"] & & \mathsf{\Gamma}_X. \\ & \mathsf{Id}_{\mathbf{Cat}} \arrow[ul, "\eta_Y"] \arrow[ur, "\eta_X"'] & \end{tikzcd}$$

Note that this implies that for each point $x \in X$ and any local systems $\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G} \in \mathsf{C}_X(X)$, the natural morphism

$$i_x^{-1}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{C}_X}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(i_x^{-1}\mathcal{F}, i_x^{-1}\mathcal{G})$$

is an isomorphism (here $i_x\colon \{\mathrm{pt}\} \to X$ denotes the natural map sending $\{\mathrm{pt}\}$ to x and we identify C with global sections of C_{pt}). Therefore, for any continuous map $f\colon X \to Y$, we get a natural isomorphism of locally constant sheaves of sets

$$f^{-1}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{C}_Y}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{C}_X}(f^{-1}\mathcal{F}, f^{-1}\mathcal{G}).$$

Now we are ready to formulate the fundamental Lemma of homotopy invariance of local systems as follows:

Lemma 1.1.10. *Let* $I = [0,1]$ *and* $t \in I$*. Consider the maps*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, shift left, "\iota_t"] & X \times I, \arrow[l, shift left, "p"] \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\iota_t(x) = (x,t)$ *and* p *is the projection. Then the 2-transformations*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Gamma_X \arrow[r, shift left, "p^{-1}"] & \Gamma_{X\times I} \arrow[l, shift left, "\iota_t^{-1}"] \end{tikzcd}$$

are equivalences of 2-functors, quasi-inverse one to each other.

Proof. Let C be a category. It is sufficient to show that the functors

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Gamma(X, \mathsf{C}_X) \arrow[r, shift left, "p^{-1}"] & \Gamma(X\times I, \mathsf{C}_{X\times I}) \arrow[l, shift left, "\iota_t^{-1}"] \end{tikzcd}$$

are natural equivalences of categories, quasi-inverse one to each other. Since $\iota_t^{-1} \circ p^{-1} \simeq (p \circ \iota_t)^{-1} = \mathrm{id}_{\Gamma(X,\mathsf{C}_X)}$, it remains to check that for each $\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma(X \times I, \mathsf{C}_{X\times I})$ there is a natural isomorphism $p^{-1}\iota_t^{-1}\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}$.

First let us prove that if $\mathcal{F}$ is a locally constant sheaf of sets on $X \times I$, then the natural morphism

$$\iota_t^{-1} \colon \Gamma(X \times I, \mathcal{F}) \to \Gamma(X, \iota_t^{-1}\mathcal{F}) \tag{1.1.2}$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed, let s and s' be two sections in $\Gamma(X \times I, \mathcal{F})$ such that $s_{x,t} = s'_{x,t}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is locally constant, the set $\{t' \in I \mid s_{x,t'} = s_{x,t}\}$ is open and closed, hence equal to I. Therefore the map is injective. Now let $s \in \Gamma(X, i_t^{-1}\mathcal{F})$. Then s is given by sections s_j of $\mathcal{F}$ on on a family $(U_j \times I_j)_{j\in J}$ where I_j is an open interval containing t, the U_j cover X and the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is constant on $U_j \times I_j$. It is not hard to see that, by refining the covering, the sections s_j can be extended to $U_j \times I$ and using the injectivity of the map one sees that we can patch the extensions of the s_j to get a section of $\mathcal{F}$ on $X \times I$ that is mapped to s. Hence the morphism (1.1.2) is an isomorphism.

Now let $\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma(X \times I, \mathsf{C}_{X\times I})$. Since $\iota_t^{-1}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{C}_{X\times I}}(p^{-1}\iota_t^{-1}\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{C}_X}(\iota_t^{-1}\mathcal{F}, \iota_t^{-1}\mathcal{F})$, we get the isomorphism

$$\Gamma(X \times I, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{C}_{X\times I}}(p^{-1}\iota_t^{-1}\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{C}_X}(\iota_t^{-1}\mathcal{F}, \iota_t^{-1}\mathcal{F})).$$

It is an easy exercise to verify that we can defines the isomorphism $p^{-1}\iota_t^{-1}\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}$ by the identity section in the set $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{C}_X}(\iota_t^{-1}\mathcal{F}, \iota_t^{-1}\mathcal{F}))$. □

Taking $X = \{\mathrm{pt}\}$ in Lemma 1.1.10, we get

Corollary 1.1.11. *The adjunction 2-transformation*

$$\eta_I \colon \mathsf{Id}_{\mathbf{Cat}} \longrightarrow \Gamma_I$$

is an equivalence, i.e. for each category C *the functor* $\eta_{I,\mathsf{C}} \colon \mathsf{C} \longrightarrow \Gamma(I, \mathsf{C}_I)$ *is a natural equivalence.*

For each X and each $t \in I$, we have an invertible modification $\mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{\Gamma}_X} \simeq \iota_t^{-1} p^{-1}$. Therefore, for any $s, t \in I$ there exists a canonical invertible modification $\iota_t^{-1} \simeq \iota_s^{-1}$ which can be used to prove the following technical Lemma:

Lemma 1.1.12. *The diagram of continuous maps on the left induces for any $s, t, t' \in I$ the commutative diagram of modifications on the right*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "\iota_t"] \arrow[d, "\iota_s"'] & X \times I \arrow[d, "\iota_s \times \mathrm{id}_I"] \\
X \times I \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_X \times \iota_t"'] & X \times I^2
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\iota_t^{-1}(\iota_s \times \mathrm{id}_I)^{-1} \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\wr"'] & \iota_{t'}^{-1}(\iota_s \times \mathrm{id}_I)^{-1} \arrow[d, "\wr"] \\
\iota_s^{-1}(\mathrm{id}_X \times \iota_t)^{-1} \arrow[r, "\sim"'] & \iota_s^{-1}(\mathrm{id}_X \times \iota_{t'})^{-1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, let $H \colon X \times I \to Y$ be a continuous map that factors through the projection $p \colon X \times I \to X$. Then the composition of invertible modifications

$$(H \circ \iota_t)^{-1} \simeq \iota_t^{-1} H^{-1} \simeq \iota_{t'}^{-1} H^{-1} \simeq (H \circ \iota_{t'})^{-1}$$

is the identity.

Let **Top** denote the strict 2-category of topological spaces and continuous maps, where 2-arrows are homotopy classes of homotopies between functions (see for example [1, cap. 7] for explicit details). Then homotopy invariance of locally constant sheaves may be expressed as the following

Proposition 1.1.13. *The assignment $(\mathsf{C}, X) \mapsto \mathsf{\Gamma}(X, \mathsf{C}_X)$ defines a 2-functor*

$$\mathsf{\Gamma} \colon \mathbf{Cat} \times \mathbf{Top}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}.$$

Moreover, the natural functors $\eta_{X,\mathsf{C}} \colon \mathsf{C} \longrightarrow \mathsf{\Gamma}(X, \mathsf{C})$ define a 2-transformation

$$\eta \colon \mathsf{Q}_1 \longrightarrow \mathsf{\Gamma}$$

where $\mathsf{Q}_1 \colon \mathbf{Cat} \times \mathbf{Top}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ is the projection.

Proof. It remains to check that $\mathsf{\Gamma}$ is well defined at the level of 2-arrows. Let $f_i \colon X \to Y$ be continuous maps for $i = 0, 1$ and let $H \colon f_0 \to f_1$ be a homotopy, that is a continuous map $X \times I \to Y$ such that $H \circ \iota_0 = f_0$ and $H \circ \iota_1 = f_1$. Then $\alpha_H : f_0^{-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} f_1^{-1}$ is defined by the chain of natural invertible modifications

$$f_0^{-1} = (H \circ \iota_0)^{-1} \simeq \iota_0^{-1} H^{-1} \simeq \iota_1^{-1} H^{-1} \simeq (H \circ \iota_1)^{-1} = f_1^{-1}.$$

Consider the constant homotopy at $f \colon X \to Y$

$$H_f \colon X \times I \to Y \quad ; \quad (x, t) \mapsto f(x).$$

Since we may factor H_f as $X \times I \xrightarrow{p} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$, by Lemma 1.1.12 we get $\alpha_{H_f} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{f}^{\text{-}1}}$.
Now let $H_0, H_1 \colon f_0 \to f_1$ be two homotopies and $K \colon H_0 \to H_1$ a homotopy. Then consider the commutative diagram of invertible modifications

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f_0^{-1} \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{H_0}"'] & \iota_0^{-1}H_0^{-1} \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & \iota_0^{-1}j_0^{-1}K^{-1} \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & \iota_0^{-1}j_1^{-1}K^{-1} \arrow[d, "\wr"] & \iota_0^{-1}H_1^{-1} \arrow[l, "\sim"'] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & f_0^{-1} \arrow[l, "\sim"'] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{H_1}"] \\
f_1^{-1} \arrow[r, "\sim"'] & \iota_1^{-1}H_0^{-1} \arrow[r, "\sim"'] & \iota_1^{-1}j_0^{-1}K^{-1} \arrow[r, "\sim"'] & \iota_1^{-1}j_1^{-1}K^{-1} & \iota_1^{-1}H_1^{-1} \arrow[l, "\sim"] & f_1^{-1}. \arrow[l, "\sim"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have to check that the horizontal lines are identity modifications. This is a consequence of Lemma 1.1.12, which allows us (by a diagram chase) to identify the two lines with the modifications induced by constant homotopies.
The fact that α is compatible with the composition of homotopies is finally a very easy diagram chase. $\square$

1.2 The monodromy functor

Definition 1.2.1. The homotopy groupoid (or Poincaré groupoid) of X is the small groupoid $\Pi_1(X) = \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(\{\mathrm{pt}\}, X)$.

Roughly speaking, objects of $\Pi_1(X)$ are the points of X and if $x, y \in X$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Pi_1(X)}(x, y)$ is the set of homotopy classes of paths starting from x and ending at y. The composition law is the opposite of the composition of paths. Note that, in particular, $\pi_0(\Pi_1(X)) = \pi_0(X)$, the set of arcwise connected components of X, and for each $x \in X$, $\mathrm{Aut}_{\Pi_1(X)}(x) = \pi_1(X, x)$, the fundamental group of X at x.

Let **Gr** denote the strict 2-category of small groupoids. Then we have a 2-functor

$$\Pi_1 \colon \mathbf{Top} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Gr},$$

which defines the Yoneda type 2-functor

$$\mathsf{Y}_{\Pi_1} \colon \mathbf{Cat} \times \mathbf{Top}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}, \quad (\mathsf{C}, X) \mapsto \mathsf{Hom}\,(\Pi_1(X), \mathsf{C}).$$

Definition 1.2.2. The monodromy 2-transformation

$$\mu \colon \mathsf{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Y}_{\Pi_1}$$

is defined as follows. For any topological space X and any category C, the functor

$$\mathsf{\Gamma}_{X,\mathsf{C}} \colon \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(\{\mathrm{pt}\}, X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}\,(\mathsf{\Gamma}(X, \mathsf{C}_X), \mathsf{C})$$

induces by evaluation a natural functor

$$\mathsf{\Gamma}(X, \mathsf{C}_X) \times \Pi_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{C},$$

hence by adjunction a functor

$$\mu_{X,\mathsf{C}} \colon \mathsf{\Gamma}(X, \mathsf{C}_X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}\,(\Pi_1(X), \mathsf{C}).$$

We will sometimes use the shorter notation μ instead of the more cumbersome $\mu_{X,\mathsf{C}}$. We will also extend μ to pointed spaces without changing the notations.

Let us briefly illustrate that we have constructed the well-known classical monodromy functor. Recall that, for each $x \in X$ one has a natural stalk 2-functor

$$\mathsf{F}_x \colon \mathbf{St}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat} \quad ; \quad \mathfrak{S} \mapsto \mathfrak{S}_x = 2\varinjlim_{x \in U} \mathfrak{S}(U).$$

Let $i_x \colon \{x\} \to X$ denote the natural embedding. Since F_x is canonically equivalent to $\Gamma(\{x\}, \cdot) \circ i_x^{-1}$, one gets a 2-transformation $\Gamma(X, \cdot) \to \mathsf{F}_x$ and then a 2-transformation

$$\rho_x \colon \Gamma_X \longrightarrow \mathsf{F}_{X,x} = \mathsf{F}_x \circ (\cdot)_X.$$

For an object $\mathcal{F}$ (resp. morphism f) in $\mathsf{C}_X(X)$, if there is no risk of confusion, we will simply write $\mathcal{F}_x$ (resp. f_x) to denote $\rho_{x,\mathsf{C}}(\mathcal{F})$ (resp. $\rho_{x,\mathsf{C}}(f)$) in $(\mathsf{C}_X)_x$.

Let $\mathbf{Top}_*$ be the strict 2-category of pointed topological spaces, pointed continuous maps and homotopy classes of pointed homotopies. One can prove by diagram chases similar to those in the proof of Proposition 1.1.13, that

Proposition 1.2.3. *The assignment* $(\mathsf{C}, (X, x)) \mapsto (\mathsf{C}_X)_x$ *defines a 2-functor*

$$\mathsf{F} \colon \mathbf{Cat} \times \mathbf{Top}_*^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}.$$

Moreover, the natural functors $\rho_{x,\mathsf{C}} \colon \Gamma(X, \mathsf{C}_X) \longrightarrow (\mathsf{C}_X)_x$ *define a 2-transformation*

$$\rho \colon \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathsf{F}.$$

Since the stalks of the stack associated to a prestack do not change, for each category C and each pointed space (X, x) the functor

$$\mathsf{C} \xrightarrow{\eta_{X,\mathsf{C}}} \mathsf{C}_X(X) \xrightarrow{\rho_{x,\mathsf{C}}} (\mathsf{C}_X)_x$$

is an equivalence of categories. Hence the composition

$$\rho \circ \eta \colon \mathsf{Q}_1 \longrightarrow \mathsf{F}$$

is an equivalence of 2-functors (here $\mathsf{Q}_1 \colon \mathbf{Cat} \times \mathbf{Top}_*^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ is the natural projection). Let $\varepsilon \colon \mathsf{F} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Q}_1$ denote a fixed quasi-inverse to $\rho \circ \eta$, *i.e.* for each category C and each pointed space (X, x), we fix a natural equivalence

$$\varepsilon_{x,\mathsf{C}} \colon (\mathsf{C}_X)_x \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{C}$$

such that if we have a pointed continuous map $f \colon (X, x) \to (Y, y)$ we get a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{C}_Y(Y) \arrow[rr, "f^{-1}"] \arrow[dd, "\rho_{y,\mathsf{C}}"'] & & \mathsf{C}_X(X) \arrow[dd, "\rho_{x,\mathsf{C}}"] \\
& \mathsf{C} \arrow[ul, "\eta_{Y,\mathsf{C}}"] \arrow[ur, "\eta_{X,\mathsf{C}}"'] & \\
(\mathsf{C}_Y)_y \arrow[ur, "\sim", "\varepsilon_{y,\mathsf{C}}"'] \arrow[rr, "\sim", "f^{-1}"'] & & (\mathsf{C}_X)_x \arrow[ul, "\sim"', "\varepsilon_{x,\mathsf{C}}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

that commutes up to natural isomorphism. Denote by ω the composition $\varepsilon \circ \rho \colon \mathsf{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Q}_1$. Fix a topological space X and a category C, and let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{\Gamma}(X, \mathsf{C}_X)$. Then a direct comparison shows that, up to natural isomorphism, we have

$$\mu_{X,\mathsf{C}}(\mathcal{F})(x) = \omega_{x,\mathsf{C}}(\mathcal{F})$$

(if $\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{Set}$, then ω_x is just the usual stalk-functor) and if $\gamma \colon x \to y$ is a path, then $\mu_{X,\mathsf{C}}(\mathcal{F})(\gamma)$ is defined by the chain of isomorphisms

$$\omega_{x,\mathsf{C}}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \omega_{0,\mathsf{C}}(\gamma^{-1}\mathcal{F}) \simeq \eta^{-1}_{I,\mathsf{C}}(\gamma^{-1}\mathcal{F}) \simeq \omega_{1,\mathsf{C}}(\gamma^{-1}\mathcal{F}) \simeq \omega_{y,\mathsf{C}}(\mathcal{F})$$

(and if $\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{Set}$, we usually choose $\eta_I^{-1} = \Gamma(I, \cdot\,))$.
In particular, this means that the following diagram commutes up to natural invertible modification

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathsf{\Gamma} \arrow[rr, "\mu"] \arrow[dr, "\omega"'] & & \mathsf{Y}_{\Pi_1}, \arrow[dl, "ev"] \\ & \mathsf{Q}_1 & \end{tikzcd} \tag{1.2.1}$$

where ev is the evaluation 2-transformation, that is for each pointed space (X, x) and each functor $\alpha \colon \Pi_1(X) \to \mathsf{C}$, it is defined by $ev_x(\alpha) = \alpha(x)$.

Let $\Delta \colon \mathsf{Q}_1 \longrightarrow \mathsf{Y}_{\Pi_1}$ denote the diagonal 2-transformation: for each topological space X, each category C and each $M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathsf{C}$, $\Delta_{X,\mathsf{C}}(M)$ is the constant functor $x \mapsto M$ (*i.e.* the trivial representation with stalk M). Clearly $ev \circ \Delta = \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{Q}_1}$. Moreover, by a diagram chase, we easily get

Proposition 1.2.4. *The diagram of 2-transformations*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathsf{\Gamma} \arrow[rr, "\mu"] & & \mathsf{Y}_{\Pi_1} \\ & \mathsf{Q}_1 \arrow[ul, "\eta"] \arrow[ur, "\Delta"'] & \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes up to invertible modification.

Proposition 1.2.5. *For each topological space X and each category C, the functor*

$$\mu_{X,\mathsf{C}} \colon \mathsf{\Gamma}(X, \mathsf{C}_X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}\,(\Pi_1(X), \mathsf{C}).$$

is faithful and conservative.

Proof. Let $f, g \colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ be two morphisms of local systems such that $\mu(f) = \mu(g)$. Since the diagram (1.2.1) commutes and $\varepsilon_{x,\mathsf{C}} \colon (\mathsf{C}_X)_x \longrightarrow \mathsf{C}$ is an equivalence, we get that $f_x = g_x$ in $(\mathsf{C}_X)_x$ for all $x \in X$. Since C_X is a stack, this implies that $f = g$, hence $\mu_{X,\mathsf{C}}$ is faithful. The same diagram implies that if $\mu(f)$ is an isomorphism, then f_x is an isomorphism in $(\mathsf{C}_X)_x$ for all $x \in X$ and therefore f is an isomorphism. $\square$

Proposition 1.2.6. *Let X be locally arcwise connected. Then for each category C, the functor*

$$\mu_{X,\mathsf{C}} \colon \mathsf{\Gamma}(X, \mathsf{C}_X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}\,(\Pi_1(X), \mathsf{C}).$$

is full.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathsf{C}_X(X)$. A morphism $\phi \colon \mu(\mathcal{F}) \to \mu(\mathcal{G})$ is given by a family of morphisms

$$\phi_x \colon ev_x(\mu(\mathcal{F})) \to ev_x(\mu(\mathcal{G}))$$

such that for every (homotopy class of) path $\gamma \colon x \to y$, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
ev_x(\mu(\mathcal{F})) \arrow[r, "\phi_x"] \arrow[d, "\mu(\mathcal{F})(\gamma)"'] & ev_x(\mu(\mathcal{G})) \arrow[d, "\mu(\mathcal{G})(\gamma)"] \\
ev_y(\mu(\mathcal{F})) \arrow[r, "\phi_y"'] & ev_y(\mu(\mathcal{G}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Using the diagram (1.2.1) and the definition of the stalk of a stack, we get an arcwise connected open neighborhood U_x of x and a morphism

$$\varphi^x \colon \mathcal{F}|_{U_x} \to \mathcal{G}|_{U_x}$$

such that $ev_x(\mu(\varphi^x)) = \phi_x$.
In order to patch the φ^x, we have to show that for any $z \in U_x \cap U_y$ we have $(\varphi^x)_z = (\varphi^y)_z$. Since ε_z is an equivalence, it is sufficent to check that $ev_z(\mu(\varphi^x)) = ev_z(\mu(\varphi^y))$.
Choose a path $\gamma \colon x \to z$. Then

$$\mu(\mathcal{G})(\gamma) \circ ev_x(\mu(\varphi^x)) \circ \mu(\mathcal{F})(\gamma)^{-1} = ev_z(\mu(\varphi^x)).$$

But by definition the lefthand side is just ϕ_z. Similarly, taking a path $\gamma' \colon y \to z$, we get

$$ev_z(\mu(\varphi^x)) = \phi_z = ev_z(\mu(\varphi^y))$$

and, by definition of the stalk, this means that φ^x and φ^y coincide in a neighborhood of z. Since z was chosen arbitrarily, they coincide on $U_x \cap U_y$. Since C_X is a stack, we can patch the morphisms φ_x to a unique $\varphi \colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ such that $\mu(\varphi) = \phi$. □

Corollary 1.2.7. *Let X be 1-connected* [7]. *Then*

$$\eta_X \colon \mathsf{Id}_{\mathbf{Cat}} \longrightarrow \mathsf{\Gamma}_X$$

is an equivalence of 2-functors, i.e. for each category C, the functor $\eta_{X,\mathsf{C}} \colon \mathsf{C} \longrightarrow \mathsf{\Gamma}(X, \mathsf{C}_X)$ is an equivalence of categories, natural in C.

---

[7] Here and for the sequel, a topological space X is n-connected if $\pi_i(X) \simeq 1$ for all $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, and locally n-connected if each neighborhood of each point contains an n-connected neighborhood.

Proof. Fix $x_0 \in X$. Since the groupoid $\Pi_1(X)$ is trivial (that is $\Pi_1(X) \simeq 1$), the 2-transformation $ev_{x_0} \colon \mathsf{Y}_{\Pi_1(X)} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Id}_{\mathbf{Cat}}$ is an equivalence, quasi-inverse to $\mu_X \circ \eta_X$. Since μ_X is fully faithful, this implies by abstract nonsense that μ_X and η_X are equivalences. $\square$

Denote by $\mathbf{CSt}(X)$ the full 2-subcategory of $\mathbf{St}(X)$ of constant stacks on X. We get

Corollary 1.2.8. *If X is 1-connected, the functors*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbf{Cat} \arrow[r, shift left, "(\cdot)_X"] & \mathbf{CSt}(X) \arrow[l, shift left, "\mathsf{\Gamma}(X{,}\cdot)"] \end{tikzcd}$$

are equivalences of 2-categories, inverse one to each other.

Theorem 1.2.9. *Let X be locally relatively 1-connected* [8]*. Then the monodromy*

$$\mu_X \colon \mathsf{\Gamma}_X \longrightarrow \mathsf{Y}_{\Pi_1(X)}$$

is an equivalence of 2-functors.

Proof. Fix a category C. By Propositions 1.2.5 and 1.2.6, it remains to show that $\mu_{X,\mathsf{C}}$ is essentially surjective. Let us first suppose that C is complete, hence we can work in the category of sheaves with values in C.
Consider a functor $\alpha \colon \Pi_1(X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{C}$. Define

$$\mathcal{V} = \Big\{(V,x) \mid x \in V,\ V \text{ relatively 1-connected open subset of } X\Big\}$$

and set $(V,x) \leqslant (W,y)$ if and only if $W \subset V$, which turns $\mathcal{V}$ into a category.
Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset. We set

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(U) = \varprojlim_{\substack{(V,x)\in\mathcal{V}\\ V\subset U}} \alpha(x)$$

where for any $(V,x) \leqslant (W,y)$ we chose a path $\gamma_{xy} \colon x \to y$ in V and use the isomorphism $\alpha(\gamma_{xy}) \colon \alpha(x) \to \alpha(y)$ in the projective system. Note that since V is relatively 1-connected, this automorphism does not depend on the choice of γ_{xy}.
Now let $U = \bigcup_{i\in I} U_i$ be a covering stable by finite intersection. In order to prove that $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ is a sheaf, we have to show that the natural morphism

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(U) = \varprojlim_{\substack{(V,x)\in\mathcal{V}\\ V\subset U}} \alpha(x) \to \varprojlim_{i\in I} \varprojlim_{\substack{(V,x)\in\mathcal{V}\\ V\subset U_i}} \alpha(x) = \varprojlim_{i\in I} \mathcal{F}_\alpha(U_i)$$

---

[8] Recall that a topological space X is locally relatively 1-connected if each point $x \in X$ has a fundamental system of arcwise connected neighborhoods U such that each loop γ in U is homotopic in X to a constant path.

is an isomorphism. To define the inverse isomorphism, note that for $(W, y) \in \mathcal{V}$ the isomorphisms $\alpha(\gamma_{xy})^{-1}$ define the natural isomorphism

$$\alpha(y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{\substack{(i,(V,x))\\ V\subset U_i\cap W}} \alpha(x).$$

Its inverse defines

$$\varprojlim_{\substack{(i,(V,x))\\ V\subset U_i}} \alpha(x) \to \varprojlim_{\substack{(i,(V,x))\\ V\subset U_i\cap W}} \alpha(x) \simeq \alpha(y),$$

which is compatible with the projective system.
By construction it is clear that, if U is relatively 1-connected, then for any choice of $x \in U$ we get a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(U) \simeq \alpha(x)$ (this isomorphism being compatible with restrictions). Hence, since relatively 1-connected open subsets form a base of the topology of X, we get that $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ is a locally constant sheaf that is constant on every relatively 1-connected open subset of X.
To calculate the monodromy, consider first a path $\gamma\colon x \to y$ such that there exists a relatively 1-connected open neighborhood of γ. Obviously we get that $\mu(\mathcal{F}_\alpha)(\gamma)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\alpha(\gamma)$. For a general γ, we decompose γ in a finite number of paths that can be covered by relatively 1-connected open subsets to get the result.
Now the general case. Embed C into $\widehat{\mathsf{C}}$ by the Yoneda functor

$$Y\colon \mathsf{C} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathsf{C}}.$$

Then, given a representation α, we can construct $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ as a locally constant sheaf with values in $\widehat{\mathsf{C}}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ has representable stalks and is therefore in the essential image of the fully faithful functor

$$\Gamma(X, \mathsf{C}_X) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \widehat{\mathsf{C}}_X).$$

Since by construction the monodromy of a locally constant sheaf with values in C can be calculated by considering it as a locally constant sheaf with values in $\widehat{\mathsf{C}}$, we can conclude. □

Thanks to the 2-Yoneda lemma (as stated for example in [11, cap. 1]), we immediatly recovers the following

Corollary 1.2.10. *Let X be locally relatively 1-connected. Then there is an equivalence of categories*

$$\Pi_1(X) \simeq \mathsf{Hom}\,(\Gamma_X, \mathsf{Id}_{\mathbf{Cat}}),$$

given by $x \mapsto \omega_x$.

1.3 Degree 1 non abelian cohomology with constant coefficients

Let M be a (not necessarily commutative) monoid. Denote by $M[1]$ the small category with 1 as single object and $\mathrm{End}_{M[1]}(1) = M$. Note that if G is a group then $G[1]$ is a groupoid. Then it is easy to check that we get fully faithful functors of categories

$$[1]\colon \mathsf{Mon} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat} \qquad\qquad [1]\colon \mathsf{Gr} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Gr},$$

where we denote by Mon the category of small monoids and by Gr that of groups. Also note that if G is a connected groupoid (that is $\pi_0(\mathsf{G}) \simeq 1$), then for each $P \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathsf{G}$, the inclusion functor $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathsf{G}}(P)[1] \longrightarrow \mathsf{G}$ is an equivalence.

Consider the category $\mathsf{Set}(G)$ of right G-sets and G-linear maps. Then $G[1]$ is equivalent to the full sub-category of $\mathsf{Set}(G)$ with G as single object. Hence the stack $G[1]_X$ is equivalent to the stack $\mathfrak{Tors}(G_X)$ of torsors over the sheaf G_X[9].

Assume that X is locally relatively 1-connected. By Theorem 1.2.9 there is an equivalence of categories

$$\mathsf{Tors}(G_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{Hom}\,(\Pi_1(X), G[1]).$$

A standard cocycle argument shows that there is an isomorphism of pointed sets

$$\pi_0(\mathsf{Tors}(G_X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(X; G_X).$$

Assume moreover that the space X is connected. Let us calculate the set pointed $\pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}\,(\Pi_1(X), G[1]))$. Since $\Pi_1(X)$ is connected, $\Pi_1(X)$ is equivalent to $\pi_1(X)[1]$ for a choice of a base point in X. Hence there is a natural surjective map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_1(X), G) \to \pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}\,(\pi_1(X)[1], G[1])),$$

and one checks immediately that two morphisms of groups $\varphi, \psi\colon \pi_1(X) \to G$ give isomorphic functors if and only if there exists $g \in G$ such that $\varphi = \mathrm{ad}(g) \circ \psi$, where $\mathrm{ad}(g)$ is the group automorphism of G given by $h \mapsto ghg^{-1}$ for each $h \in G$ (automorphisms of this form are called inner automorphisms of G). Hence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_1(X), G)/G \simeq \pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}\,(\pi_1(X)[1], G[1])),$$

where G acts on the left on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_1(X), G)$ by conjugation. We get the classical

Proposition 1.3.1 (Hurewicz's formula). *Let X be connected and locally relatively 1-connected. Then for any group G there is an isomorphism of pointed sets*

$$H^1(X; G_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_1(X), G)/G.$$

---

[9] Recall that $\mathfrak{Tors}(G_X)$ is the stack which associates to each open subset $U \subset X$ the category $\mathsf{Tors}(G_U)$ of right G_U-sheaves locally free of rank one. Note that $\mathsf{Tors}(G_X)$ is equivalent to the category of G-coverings over X.

In particular, if G is commutative one recovers the isomorphism of groups

$$H^1(X; G_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_1(X), G).$$

More generally, to each complex of groups $G^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} G^0$ one associates a small groupoid, which we denote by the same symbol, as follows: objects are the elements $g \in G^0$ and morphisms $g \to g'$ are given by $h \in G^{-1}$ such that $d(h)g = g'$. If moreover $G^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} G^0$ has the structure of crossed module[10], the associated category is a strict gr-category, *i.e.* a group object in the category of groupoids. In fact, all strict gr-categories arise in this way (see for example [5], and [SGA4, exposé XVIII] for the commutative case). In particular, if G is a group, the groupoid $G[1]$ is identified with $G \to 1$ and it has the structure of a strict gr-category if and only if G is commutative. Moreover, the strict gr-category $\mathsf{Aut}\,(G[1])$ of auto-equivalences of $G[1]$ is equivalent to $G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G)$.

Consider the constant stack $(G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G))_X$. It is equivalent to the gr-stack $\mathfrak{Bitors}(G_X)$ of G_X-bitorsors, *i.e.* G_X-torsors with an additional compatible structure of left G_X-torsors (see [2] for more details). Suppose that X is locally relatively 1-connected. Then, by Theorem 1.2.9, there is an equivalence of gr-categories

$$\mathsf{Bitors}(G_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{Hom}\,(\Pi_1(X), G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G)).$$

One may show (see *loc. cit.*) that

$$\pi_0(\mathsf{Bitors}(G_X)) \simeq H^0(X; G_X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G_X))$$

where the right hand side is the 0-th (hyper-)cohomology group of X with values in the sheaf of crossed modules $G_X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G_X)$. Suppose that X is connected. Then $\Pi_1(X) \simeq \pi_1(X)[1]$ and a similar calculation as above leads to the isomorphism of groups

$$\pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}\,(\pi_1(X)[1], G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G))) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_1(X), \mathrm{Z}(G)) \rtimes \mathrm{Out}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G),$$

where $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ denotes the center of G and $\mathrm{Out}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G) = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G)/G$ is the group of outer automorphisms of G, which acts on the left on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_1(X), \mathrm{Z}(G))$ by composition. We get

Proposition 1.3.2 (Hurewicz's formula II). *Let X be connected and locally relatively 1-connected. Then for any group G there is an isomorphism of groups*

$$H^0(X; G_X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G_X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_1(X), \mathrm{Z}(G)) \rtimes \mathrm{Out}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G).$$

A similar result holds replacing $G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G)$ by a general crossed module $G^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} G^0$. More precisely, noticing that $\ker d$ is central in G^{-1}, one gets an isomorphism of groups

$$H^0(X; G_X^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} G_X^0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_1(X), \ker d) \rtimes \operatorname{coker} d.$$

---

[10] Recall that a complex of groups $G^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} G^0$ is a crossed module if it is endowed with a (left) action of G^0 on G^{-1} such that (i) $d({}^g h) = \mathrm{ad}(g)(d(h))$ and (ii) ${}^{d(\tilde{h})}h = \mathrm{ad}(\tilde{h})(h)$ for any $h, \tilde{h} \in G^{-1}$ and $g \in G^0$. We use the convention as in [2] for which G^i is in i-th degree.

2 Classification of locally constant stacks

Following our presentation of 1-monodromy, we will approach the theory of 2-monodromy in the setting of 2-stacks. We refer to [2] for the basic definitions. Let X be a topological space and let $2\mathbf{Cat}$, $2\mathbf{PSt}(X)$ and $2\mathbf{St}(X)$ denote the 3-category[11] of small 2-categories, of 2-prestacks and that of 2-stacks on X, respectively. As for sheaves and stacks, there exists a 2-stack associated to a 2-prestack:

Proposition 2.0.3. *The forgetful 3-functor*

$$\mathbf{For}\colon 2\mathbf{St}(X) \longrightarrow 2\mathbf{PSt}(X)$$

has a left adjoint 3-functor

$$\ddagger\colon 2\mathbf{PSt}(X) \longrightarrow 2\mathbf{St}(X).$$

Hence, we may associate to any 2-category a constant 2-prestack on X and set

Definition 2.0.4. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a 2-category. The constant 2-stack on X with stalk $\mathbf{C}$ is the image of $\mathbf{C}$ by the 3-functor

$$(\,\cdot\,)_X\colon 2\mathbf{Cat} \longrightarrow 2\mathbf{PSt}(X) \xrightarrow{\ddagger} 2\mathbf{St}(X).$$

An object $\mathfrak{S} \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathbf{C}_X(X)$ is called a locally constant stack on X with values in $\mathbf{C}$. A locally constant stack is constant with stalk P, if it is equivalent to $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{X,\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{P})$ for some object $\mathrm{P} \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathbf{C}$, where the 3-functor

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_{X,\mathbf{C}}\colon \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_X(X)$$

is induced by the 3-adjunction of Proposition 2.0.3.

Let us look at the case when $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$, the 2-category of all small categories. It is easy to see that a stack $\mathfrak{S}$ on X is locally constant if and only if there exists an open covering $X = \bigcup U_i$ such that $\mathfrak{S}|_{U_i}$ is equivalent to a constant stack (as defined in the first part). We denote by $\mathbf{LcSt}(X)$ the full 2-subcategory of $\mathbf{St}(X)$ whose objects are the locally constant stacks. Similarly, suppose that $\mathbf{C}$ is a 2-category that admits all small 2-limits. Then one can define the notion of a stack with values in $\mathbf{C}$ similarly to the case of sheaves (see Appendix B). It is not difficult to see that the sub-2-category of stacks with values in $\mathbf{C}$ which are locally constant is naturally equivalent to $\mathbf{C}_X(X)$. For a more general $\mathbf{C}$, we can always embed $\mathbf{C}$ by the 2-Yoneda lemma into the strict 2-category $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Cat})$, which admits all small 2-limits. Then $\mathbf{C}_X(X)$ can be identified with the (essentially) full sub-2-category of $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_X(X)$ defined by objects whose stalk is 2-representable.

We now follow Section 1 step by step to define the 2-monodromy 2-functor.

Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a continous map. We leave to the reader to define the 3-adjoint 3-functors f_* and f^{-1}.

---

[11] Here and in the following, we will use the terminology of 3-categories and 3-functors only in the framework of strict 3-categories, *i.e.* categories enriched in $2\mathbf{Cat}$.

Definition 2.0.5. Denote by $\mathbf{\Gamma}(X,\,\cdot\,)$ the 3-functor of global sections

$$2\mathbf{St}(X) \longrightarrow 2\mathbf{Cat} \quad ; \quad \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{S}} \mapsto \mathbf{\Gamma}(X, \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{S}}) = \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{S}}(X)$$

and set $\mathbf{\Gamma}_X = \mathbf{\Gamma}(X, \cdot) \circ (\cdot)_X$.

Since the 3-functor

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}(\{\mathrm{pt}\}, \cdot) \colon 2\mathbf{St}(\{\mathrm{pt}\}) \longrightarrow 2\mathbf{Cat}$$

is an equivalence of 3-categories, then the 3-functor $\mathbf{\Gamma}(X,\,\cdot\,)$ is right 3-adjoint to $(\,\cdot\,)_X$. It is not hard to see that we get a 3-transformation of 3-functors f^{-1} compatible with $\boldsymbol{\eta}_X$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}_Y$, *i.e.* the following diagram commutes up to a natural invertible 2-modification:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{\Gamma}_Y \arrow[rr, "f^{-1}"] & & \mathbf{\Gamma}_X . \\
& \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbf{2Cat}} \arrow[ul, "\boldsymbol{\eta}_Y"] \arrow[ur, "\boldsymbol{\eta}_X"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Similarly to the case of sheaves, this implies that for each point $x \in X$ and any locally constant stacks $\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{T} \in \mathbf{C}_X(X)$, the natural functor

$$i_x^{-1}\mathfrak{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_X}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{T}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(i_x^{-1}\mathfrak{S}, i_x^{-1}\mathfrak{T})$$

is an equivalence (here $i_x \colon \{\mathrm{pt}\} \to X$ denotes the natural map to x and we identify $\mathbf{C}$ with global sections of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pt}}$). Therefore, for each continuous map $f \colon X \to Y$, we get a natural equivalence of locally constant stacks of categories

$$f^{-1}\mathfrak{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_Y}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_X}(f^{-1}\mathfrak{S}, f^{-1}\mathfrak{T}).$$

2.1 The 2-monodromy 2-functor

Let us prove first the fundamental Lemma of homotopy invariance of locally constant stacks.

Lemma 2.1.1. *Consider the maps* $X \underset{p}{\overset{\iota_t}{\rightleftarrows}} X \times I$ *as in Lemma 1.1.10. Then the 3-transformations*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{\Gamma}_X \arrow[r, shift left, "p^{-1}"] & \mathbf{\Gamma}_{X \times I} \arrow[l, shift left, "\iota_t^{-1}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

are equivalences of 2-functors, quasi-inverse one to each other.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a 2-category. Since $\iota_t^{-1} \circ p^{-1} \simeq \mathsf{Id}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}_X}$, it remains to check that for each $\mathfrak{S} \in \mathbf{\Gamma}(X \times I, \mathbf{C}_{X \times I})$ there is a natural equivalence of stacks $p^{-1}\iota_t^{-1}\mathfrak{S} \simeq \mathfrak{S}$.
First, let us suppose that $\mathfrak{S}$ is a locally constant stack of categories and let us prove that the natural functor

$$\iota_t^{-1} \colon \Gamma(X \times I, \mathfrak{S}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \iota_t^{-1}\mathfrak{S})$$

is an equivalence. Since the sheaves $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{S}}$ are locally constant, by Lemma 1.1.10 it is clear that this functor is fully faithful. Let us show that it is essentially surjective.

Note that, since $\mathfrak{S}$ is locally constant, it is easy to see that for every open neighborhood $U \times I_j \ni (x,t)$ such that I_j is an interval and $\mathfrak{S}|_{U\times I_j}$ is constant, there exists an open subset $\tilde{U} \ni x$ so that for every locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{S}(\tilde{U} \times I_j)$ there exists $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathfrak{S}(\tilde{U} \times I)$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{\tilde{U}\times I_j} \simeq \mathcal{F}$.

Now take $\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma(X, \iota_t^{-1}\mathfrak{S})$. Then we can find a covering $X \times \{t\} \subset \bigcup_{j\in J} U_j \times I_j$ where I_j are open intervals containing t such that $\mathfrak{S}|_{U_j \times I_j}$ is constant and we can find objects $\mathcal{F}_j \in \mathfrak{S}(U_j \times I_j)$ such that $\iota_t^{-1}\mathcal{F}_j \simeq \mathcal{F}|_{U_j}$. Then the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathcal{F}_i|_{U_{ij}\times I_{ij}}, \mathcal{F}_j|_{U_{ij}\times I_{ij}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}|_{U_{ij}}, \mathcal{F}|_{U_j}|_{U_{ij}})$$

implies that we may use the descent data of $\mathcal{F}$ to patch the $\mathcal{F}_i$ to a global object on $X \times I$ that is mapped to $\mathcal{F}$ by ι_t^{-1}.

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Lemma 1.1.10. Consider the stack of functors $\mathfrak{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_{X\times I}}(p^{-1}\iota_t^{-1}\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S})$. Since $\mathfrak{S}$ is locally constant, the $\mathfrak{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_X}$ stack is locally constant and the natural functor

$$\iota_t^{-1}\mathfrak{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_{X\times I}}(p^{-1}\iota_t^{-1}\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_X}(\iota_t^{-1}\mathfrak{S}, \iota_t^{-1}\mathfrak{S})$$

is an equivalence. We have thus shown that the natural functor

$$\Gamma(X \times I, \mathfrak{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_{X\times I}}(p^{-1}\iota_t^{-1}\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S})) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_X}(\iota_t^{-1}\mathfrak{S}, \iota_t^{-1}\mathfrak{S}))$$

is an equivalence. We can therefore lift the identity of $\iota_t^{-1}\mathfrak{S}$ to get an equivalence

$$p^{-1}\iota_t^{-1}\mathfrak{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{S}.$$

$\square$

Corollary 2.1.2. *For each 2-category* $\mathbf{C}$*, the 2-functor*

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_{I,\mathbf{C}} \colon \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{\Gamma}(I, \mathbf{C}_I)$$

is an equivalence.

Hence, for any X and any $t \in I$, we have the equivalence $\mathsf{Id}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}_X} = (p \circ \iota_t)^{-1} \simeq \iota_t^{-1}p^{-1}$. Then, for any $s, t \in I$, there exists a canonical (*i.e.* unique up to unique invertible modification) equivalence $\iota_t^{-1} \simeq \iota_s^{-1}$. With patience, one deduces the following technical Lemma:

Lemma 2.1.3. *(i) For any $s, t, t' \in I$, the topological diagram on the left induces the diagram of equivalences on the right, which is commutative up to natural invertible modification:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "\iota_t"] \arrow[d, "\iota_s"'] & X \times I \arrow[d, "\iota_s \times \mathrm{id}_I"] \\
X \times I \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_X \times \iota_t"'] & X \times I^2
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\iota_t^{-1}(\iota_s \times \mathrm{id}_I)^{-1} \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\wr"'] & \iota_{t'}^{-1}(\iota_s \times \mathrm{id}_I)^{-1} \arrow[d, "\wr"] \\
\iota_s^{-1}(\mathrm{id}_X \times \iota_t)^{-1} \arrow[r, "\sim"'] & \iota_s^{-1}(\mathrm{id}_X \times \iota_{t'})^{-1}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(ii) For any $r, s, t, t' \in I$, the topological diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & X \arrow[rr, "\iota_r"] \arrow[dl, "\iota_s"'] \arrow[dd, "\iota_t"] & & X \times I \arrow[dl, "\iota_s \times \mathrm{id}_I"'] \arrow[dd, "\iota_t \times \mathrm{id}_I"] \\
X \times I \arrow[rr, "\mathrm{id}_X \times \iota_r"'] \arrow[dd, "\mathrm{id}_X \times \iota_t"'] & & X \times I^2 \arrow[dd, "\mathrm{id}_X \times \iota_t \times \mathrm{id}_I"] & \\
 & X \times I \arrow[rr, "j_r"'] \arrow[dl, "\iota_s \times \mathrm{id}_I"'] & & X \times I^2 \arrow[dl, "\iota_s \times \mathrm{id}_{I^2}"] \\
X \times I^2 \arrow[rr, "\mathrm{id}_{X \times I} \times \iota_r"'] & & X \times I^3 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

induces a (very big) commutative diagram of the corresponding modifications.

(iii) Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a continous map and $H_f\colon X \times I \to Y$ the constant homotopy of f. Then, for any $t, t' \in I$, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f^{-1} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_{f^{-1}}"] \arrow[d, "\wr"'] & f^{-1} \arrow[d, "\wr"] \\
\iota_t^{-1} H_f^{-1} \arrow[r, "\sim"'] & \iota_{t'}^{-1} H_f^{-1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes up to natural invertible modification.

Let $2\mathbf{Top}$ denote the 3-category whose objects are topological spaces, 1-arrows are continuous maps, 2-arrows are homotopies between continuous maps and 3-arrows are homotopy classes of homotopies (between homotopies of maps). Following the same lines of the Proposition 1.1.13 and using the two Lemma above, one can then prove

Proposition 2.1.4. *The assignment $(\mathbf{C}, X) \mapsto \mathbf{\Gamma}(X, \mathbf{C}_X)$ defines a 3-functor*

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}\colon 2\mathbf{Cat} \times 2\mathbf{Top}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow 2\mathbf{Cat},$$

and the natural 2-functors $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{X,\mathsf{C}}$ define a 3-transformation $\boldsymbol{\eta}\colon \mathbf{Q}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{\Gamma}$.

Definition 2.1.5. The homotopy 2-groupoid of X is the 2-groupoid[12]

$$\Pi_2(X) = \mathbf{Hom}_{2\mathbf{Top}}(\{\mathrm{pt}\}, X).$$

Roughly speaking, its objects are the points of X and, if $x, y \in X$, $\mathsf{Hom}_{\Pi_2(X)}(x, y)$ is the category $\Pi_1(P_{x,y}X)$, where $P_{x,y}X$ is the space of paths starting from x and ending in y, endowed with the compact-open topology. Compositions laws are defined in the obvious way. Note that, in particular, $\boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\Pi_2(X)) = \pi_0(X)$ and for each $x \in X$, $\mathrm{Pic}_{\Pi_2(X)}(x) = \pi_0(\Pi_1(\Omega_x X)) = \pi_1(X, x)$, where we denote by $\Omega_x X$ the loop space $P_{x,x}X$ with base point x, and $Z_{\Pi_2(X)}(x) = \pi_2(X, x)$[13]. We refer to [10] for an explicit construction of a strictification of $\Pi_2(X)$ when X is Hausdorff.

---

[12] Recall that a 2-groupoid is a 2-category whose 2-arrows are invertible and 1-arrows are invertible up to a 2-arrow.

Let $2\mathbf{Gr}$ denote the 3-category of 2-groupoids. Then we have a 3-functor

$$\Pi_2 \colon 2\mathbf{Top} \longrightarrow 2\mathbf{Gr},$$

which defines the Yoneda type 3-functor

$$\mathbf{Y}_{\Pi_2} \colon 2\mathbf{Cat} \times 2\mathbf{Top}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow 2\mathbf{Cat}, \quad (\mathbf{C}, X) \mapsto \mathbf{Hom}\,(\Pi_2(X), \mathbf{C}).$$

Definition 2.1.6. The 2-monodromy 3-transformation

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^2 \colon \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y}_{\Pi_2}$$

is defined in the following manner. For each topological space X, the 2-functor

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{X,\mathbf{C}} \colon \mathbf{Hom}_{2\mathbf{Top}}(\{\mathrm{pt}\}, X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Hom}\,(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(X, \mathbf{C}_X), \mathbf{C})$$

induces by evaluation a natural 2-functor

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(X, \mathbf{C}_X) \times \Pi_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C},$$

hence by adjunction a 2-functor

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^2_{X,\mathbf{C}} \colon \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(X, \mathbf{C}_X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Hom}\,(\Pi_2(X), \mathbf{C}).$$

As in the case of 1-monodromy, let us visualize this construction using stalks. To every 2-stack $\mathfrak{S}$ we can associate its stalk at $x \in X$, which is the 2-category

$$\mathfrak{S}_x = 3\varinjlim_{x \in U} \mathfrak{S}(U),$$

and a natural 2-functor $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{x,\mathfrak{S}} \colon \mathfrak{S}(X) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_x$ (in the case that $\mathfrak{S}$ is the 2-stack $\mathfrak{St}_X$ of all stacks on X, we can chose $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{x,\mathfrak{St}} = \mathsf{F}_x$, the ordinary stalk 2-functor). Hence we get the natural stalk 3-functor

$$\mathbf{F}_x \colon 2\mathbf{St}(X) \longrightarrow 2\mathbf{Cat} \quad ; \quad \mathfrak{S} \mapsto \mathfrak{S}_x$$

which induces an equivalence

$$\mathfrak{LcSh}_x \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Cat}$$

(if $\mathbf{C}$ is a 2-category, then $(\mathbf{C}_X)_x \simeq \mathbf{C}$). Then similarly as in the case of sheaves, one proves the following

---

[13] Note that the categorical action of $\mathrm{Pic}_{\Pi_2(X)}(x) = \pi_1(X, x)$ on $Z_{\Pi_2(X)}(x) = \pi_2(X, x)$ is exactly the classical one of algebraic topology.

Proposition 2.1.7. *The assignment* $(\mathbf{C},(X,x)) \mapsto (\mathbf{C}_X)_x$ *defines a 3-functor*

$$\mathbf{F}\colon 2\mathbf{Cat} \times 2\mathbf{Top}_*^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow 2\mathbf{Cat}$$

and the 2-functors $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{x,\mathbf{C}}$ *define a 3-transformation* $\boldsymbol{\rho}\colon \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}$.

We find that

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} \circ \boldsymbol{\eta}\colon \mathbf{Q_1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}$$

is an equivalence of 3-functors. Let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\colon \mathbf{F} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_1$ be a fixed quasi-inverse to $\boldsymbol{\rho} \circ \boldsymbol{\eta}$ and set $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \circ \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Fix a topological space X and a locally constant stack $\mathfrak{S} \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(X, \mathbf{C}_X)$. Then (up to a natural equivalence)

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^2_{X,\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{S})(x) = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{x,\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{S})$$

(if $\mathfrak{S}$ is a locally constant stack with values in $\mathbf{Cat}$, then $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{x,\mathbf{Cat}}(\mathfrak{S})$ can be canonically identified with $\mathfrak{S}_x$). If $\gamma\colon x \to y$ is a path, then the equivalence $\boldsymbol{\mu}^2_{X,\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{S})(\gamma)$ is defined by the chain of equivalences

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{x,\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{S}) \simeq \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0,\mathbf{C}}(\gamma^{-1}\mathfrak{S}) \simeq \boldsymbol{\eta}_{I,\mathbf{C}}(\gamma^{-1}\mathfrak{S}) \simeq \boldsymbol{\omega}_{1,\mathbf{C}}(\gamma^{-1}\mathfrak{S}) \simeq \boldsymbol{\omega}_{y,\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{S}),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{I,\mathbf{C}}$ is just the global section functor in the case of ordinary stacks, *i.e.* for $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$. If $H\colon \gamma_0 \to \gamma_1$ is an homotopy, then the invertible transformation $\boldsymbol{\mu}^2_{X,\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{S})(H)$ is defined by the diagram of equivalences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{\omega}_{(0,0)}(H^{-1}\mathfrak{S}) \arrow[rr, "\boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S})(H(\cdot,0))"] \arrow[dd, "\boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S})(\gamma_0)"'] & & \boldsymbol{\omega}_{(1,0)}(H^{-1}\mathfrak{S}) \arrow[dd, "\boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S})(\gamma_1)"] \\
& \boldsymbol{\eta}_{I^2}(H^{-1}\mathfrak{S}) \arrow[ul, "\rho_{(0,0)}"'] \arrow[ur, "\rho_{(1,0)}"] \arrow[dl, "\rho_{(0,1)}"] \arrow[dr, "\rho_{(1,1)}"'] & \\
\boldsymbol{\omega}_{(0,1)}(H^{-1}\mathfrak{S}) \arrow[rr, "\boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S})(H(\cdot,1))"'] & & \boldsymbol{\omega}_{(1,1)}(H^{-1}\mathfrak{S}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, the following diagram of 3-transformations commutes (up to a natural 2-modification)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \arrow[rr, "\boldsymbol{\mu}^2"] \arrow[dr, "\boldsymbol{\omega}"'] & & \mathbf{Y}_{\Pi_2}, \arrow[dl, "\mathsf{ev}"] \\
& \mathbf{Q}_1 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ev denotes the evaluation 3-transformation.

2.2 Classifying locally constant stacks

Let $\Delta\colon \mathbf{Q}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y}_{\Pi_2}$ denote the diagonal 3-transformation. Exactly as in the sheaf case, one gets

Proposition 2.2.1. *The image of a constant stack is equivalent to a trivial representation. In other words, the diagram of 3-transformations*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbf{\Gamma} \arrow[rr, "\boldsymbol{\mu}^2"] & & \mathbf{Y}_{\Pi_2} \\ & \mathbf{Q}_1 \arrow[ul, "\boldsymbol{\eta}"] \arrow[ur, "\Delta"'] & \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes up to 2-modifications.

Proposition 2.2.2. *For any topological space X and 2-category $\mathbf{C}$, the 2-functor*

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^2_{X,\mathbf{C}} \colon \mathbf{\Gamma}(X, \mathbf{C}_X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Hom}\,(\Pi_2(X), \mathbf{C})$$

is faithful and conservative.

Proof. We have to show that, for any locally constant stack $\mathfrak{S}$ and $\mathfrak{S}'$, the induced functor

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^2 \colon \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_X}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}') \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{Hom}\,(\Pi_2(X),\mathbf{C})}(\boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S}), \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S}'))$$

is faithful and conservative. Let $F, G \colon \mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}'$ be two functors of stacks. Since for each $x \in X$, there is a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}\,(\boldsymbol{\mu}^2(F)(x), \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(F)(x)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}\,(F_x, G_x)$, we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Hom}\,(F,G) \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{\mu}^2"] \arrow[d, "\mathsf{F}_x"'] & \mathrm{Hom}\,(\boldsymbol{\mu}^2(F), \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(G)) \arrow[d, "ev_x"] \\ \mathcal{H}om\,(F,G)_x \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \mathrm{Hom}\,(F_x, G_x). \end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\varphi, \psi \colon F \to G$ be two morphisms of functors. If $\boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\varphi) = \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\psi)$, then $\varphi_x = \psi_x$ for all $x \in X$ and, since $\mathcal{H}om\,(F,G)$ is a sheaf, we get $\varphi = \psi$. Similarly, if $\boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\varphi)$ is an isomorphism, it follows that the morphism φ is an isomorphism. ☐

Proposition 2.2.3. *Let X be locally 1-connected. Then for each 2-category $\mathbf{C}$, the 2-functor*

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^2_{X,\mathbf{C}} \colon \mathbf{\Gamma}(X, \mathbf{C}_X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Hom}\,(\Pi_2(X), \mathbf{C})$$

is full.

Proof. We have to show that the induced functor

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^2 \colon \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_X}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}') \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{Hom}\,(\Pi_2(X),\mathbf{C})}(\boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S}), \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S}'))$$

is full and essentially surjective.
A morphism $\phi : \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S}) \to \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S}')$ is defined by a family of functors

$$\phi_x : \mathrm{ev}_x \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{ev}_x \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S}')$$

and for any path $\gamma:\, x \to x'$ a canonical isomorphism

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{ev}_x\, \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S}) \arrow[r, "\phi_x"] \arrow[d, "\boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S})(\gamma)"'] & \mathrm{ev}_x\, \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S}') \arrow[d, "\boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S}')(\gamma)"] \\
\mathrm{ev}_y\, \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S}) \arrow[r, "\phi_y"'] \arrow[ur, Rightarrow, shorten=12pt, "\sim"] & \mathrm{ev}_y\, \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\mathfrak{S}')
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}'$ are locally constant, we have an equivalence of categories $\mathfrak{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_X}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}')_x \simeq \mathsf{Hom}\,(\mathfrak{S}_x, \mathfrak{S}'_x)$ and we may lift ϕ_x to a 1-connected open neighborhoods U_x of x, say to a functor

$$\varphi^x :\ \mathfrak{S}|_{U_x} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}'|_{U_x}.$$

Consider $z \in U_x \cap U_y$ and chose paths γ_{xz} from x to z and γ_{yz} from y to z. We get the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{S}_z \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ev}_z(\varphi^x)"'] & \mathfrak{S}_x \arrow[l] \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ev}_x(\varphi^x)"] & \mathfrak{S}_z \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ev}_z(\varphi^z)"] & \mathfrak{S}_y \arrow[l] \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ev}_y(\varphi^y)"] & \mathfrak{S}_z \arrow[d, "\mathrm{ev}_z(\varphi^y)"] \\
\mathfrak{S}'_z & \mathfrak{S}'_x \arrow[l] \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{S}'_z & \mathfrak{S}'_y \arrow[l] \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{S}'_z
\end{tikzcd}$$

that commutes up to natural isomorphism. Moreover the horizontal lines are canonically isomorphic to Identities, hence we get a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{ev}_z(\varphi^x) \simeq \mathrm{ev}_z(\varphi^y)$ that we can lift to a small neighborhood of z. Since U_x and U_y are 1-connected, this lift does not depend on the choice of the paths γ_{xz} and γ_{yz} and the isomorphism $\mathrm{ev}_z(\varphi^x) \simeq \mathrm{ev}_z(\varphi^y)$ is canonical in a neighborhood of z, i.e. it satisfies the cocycle condition and can be patched to an isomorphism

$$\varphi^x|_{U_{xy}} \simeq \varphi^y|_{U_{xy}}.$$

Clearly these isomorphisms satisfy the cocycle condition, hence we get an isomorphism $\varphi:\, \mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}'$. By construction $\boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\varphi) \simeq \phi$.
Next consider two functors $\varphi, \psi:\, \mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}'$ and a morphism $f:\, \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\varphi) \to \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\psi)$. Such a morphism is defined by a family

$$f_x:\ \mathrm{ev}_x\, \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\varphi) \to \mathrm{ev}_x\, \boldsymbol{\mu}^2(\psi)$$

with compatibility conditions, hence by a family

$$f_x:\, \varphi_x \to \psi_x$$

such that for every path γ from x to y

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\boldsymbol{\mu}^2\mathfrak{S}'(\gamma)\varphi_x \arrow[r, "f_x"] \arrow[d, "\sim"'] & \boldsymbol{\mu}^2\mathfrak{S}'(\gamma)\psi_x \arrow[d, "\sim"] \\
\varphi_y\boldsymbol{\mu}^2\mathfrak{S}(\gamma) \arrow[r, "f_y"'] & \psi_y\boldsymbol{\mu}^2\mathfrak{S}(\gamma)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Lifting f_x to any arcwise connected neighborhood U_x of x, this diagram implies that $\boldsymbol{\mu}^2(f_x) = f_z$ for all $z \in U_z$ and we can show that we can patch the f_x similarly to the case of 1-monodromy.

$\square$

Corollary 2.2.4. *Let X be 2-connected. Then the 2-functor*

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_{X,\mathbf{C}} \colon \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_X(X)$$

is a natural equivalence of 2-categories. For $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$, the 2-functor $\mathsf{\Gamma}(X, \cdot)$ provides a quasi-2-inverse to $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{X,\mathbf{C}} = (\cdot)_X$.

Proof. The proof follows the same lines as the proof of Proposition 1.2.7, using the fact that $\Pi_2(X) \simeq 1$. If $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$, one may chose $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{X,\mathbf{C}} = (\cdot)_X$, hence F_{x_0} gives a quasi-2-inverse for any choice of $x_0 \in X$. Thanks to the natural 2-transformation $\mathsf{\Gamma}(X, \cdot) \longrightarrow \mathsf{F}_{x_0}$, the 2-functor $\mathsf{\Gamma}(X, \cdot)$ provides another quasi-2-inverse. $\square$

Theorem 2.2.5. *Let X be locally relatively 2-connected* [14]. *Then*

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^2_X \colon \mathbf{\Gamma}_X \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y}_{\Pi_2(X)}$$

is an equivalence of 3-functors.

Proof. We have to show that for each 2-category $\mathbf{C}$, the 2-functor $\boldsymbol{\mu}^2_{X,\mathbf{C}}$ is essentially surjective.
Suppose first that $\mathbf{C}$ is 2-complete and let $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbf{Hom}\,(\Pi_2(X), \mathbf{Cat})$. Set

$$\mathcal{V} = \Big\{ (V, x) \mid x \in V,\ V \text{ relatively 2-connected open subset of } X \Big\}$$

and define $(V, x) \leqslant (W, y)$ if and only if $W \subset V$.
Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset. We set

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(U) = \underset{\substack{(V,x)\in\mathcal{V} \\ V\subset U}}{2\varprojlim}\, \boldsymbol{\alpha}(x)$$

where for any $(V, x) \leqslant (W, y)$, we chose a path $\gamma_{xy} \colon x \to y$ in V and use the equivalence $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\gamma_{xy}) \colon \boldsymbol{\alpha}(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(y)$ in the projective system, and for any $(V, x) \leqslant (W, y) \leqslant (Z, z)$, we chose a homotopy $H_{\gamma_{xy},\gamma_{yz},\gamma_{xz}} \colon \gamma_{xy}\gamma_{yz} \to \gamma_{xz}$ in V and use the invertible transformation of functors $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(H_{\gamma_{xy},\gamma_{yz},\gamma_{xz}})$. Note that since V is relatively 2-connected, the equivalence $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\gamma_{xy})$ is unique up to invertible transformation and the invertible transformation $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(H_{\gamma_{xy},\gamma_{yz},\gamma_{xz}})$ does not depend on the choice of the homotopy $H_{\gamma_{xy},\gamma_{yz},\gamma_{xz}}$.
One argues as in the proof of Theorem 1.2.9 to show that the pre-stack defined by $X \supset$

---

[14] Recall that a topological space X is locally relatively 2-connected if each point $x \in X$ has a fundamental system of 1-connected neighborhoods U such that every homotopy of a path in U is homotopic to the constant homotopy in X.

$U \mapsto \mathfrak{S}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(U)$ is actually a stack. By definition it is clear that, if U is relatively 2-connected, then for any choice of $x \in U$ (and paths from x to y for every $y \in U$) we get an equivalence of categories $\mathfrak{S}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(U) \simeq \boldsymbol{\alpha}(x)$ compatible with restriction functors in a natural sense. Hence, the stack $\mathfrak{S}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is constant on every relatively 2-connected open subset of X. Since relatively 2-connected open subsets form a base of the topology of X, we get that $\mathfrak{S}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is locally constant.
The computation of the 2-monodromy of $\mathfrak{S}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is similar to that of 1-monodromy in the proof of Theorem 1.2.9.
For a general 2-category $\mathbf{C}$, we can use the 2-Yoneda lemma to reduce to this last case. $\square$

Suppose that X is connected and locally relatively 2-connected, and let ΩX be the loop space at a fixed base point $x_0 \in X$. Consider the following diagram of topological space and continuous maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\Omega X)^3 \arrow[r, shift left=4.5, "q_{23}"] \arrow[r, shift left=1.5, "m\times id"] \arrow[r, shift right=1.5, "id\times m"] \arrow[r, shift right=4.5, "q_{12}"] & (\Omega X)^2 \arrow[r, shift left=3, "q_2"] \arrow[r, "m"] \arrow[r, shift right=3, "q_1"] & \Omega X \arrow[r] & \{x_0\}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the q_i's, the q_{ij}'s and the q_{ijk}'s are the natural projections and m the composition of paths in ΩX[15].

Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a locally constant stack on X with values in $\mathbf{C}$. Theorem 2.2.5 asserts that $\mathfrak{S}$ is completely and uniquely (up to equivalence) determined by its 2-monodromy $\boldsymbol{\mu}^2_{X,\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{S})\colon \Pi_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Since X is connected, the stalks of $\mathfrak{S}$ are all equivalent. Let us denote by P the stalk at x_0. By chosing paths from x_0 to any point x, the 2-monodromy reads as a monoidal functor $\boldsymbol{\mu}^2_{X,\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{S})\colon \Pi_1(\Omega X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Aut}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{P})$. Since the topological space ΩX satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2.9, there is a chain of equivalences of categories

$$\mathsf{Hom}\,(\Pi_1(\Omega X), \mathsf{Aut}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{P})) \xleftarrow[\mu]{\sim} \Gamma(\Omega X, \mathsf{Aut}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{P})_{\Omega X}) \simeq \mathsf{Aut}_{\mathbf{C}_X}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\Omega X,\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{P})).$$

Then the 2-monodromy is equivalent to a pair (α, ν) where $\alpha\colon \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\Omega X,\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{P}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\Omega X,\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{P})$ is an equivalence of constant stacks on ΩX and

$$\nu\colon q_1^{-1}\alpha \circ q_2^{-1}\alpha \xrightarrow{\sim} m^{-1}\alpha$$

is an invertible transformation of functors of stacks on $(\Omega X)^2$ such that the following diagram of invertible transformations of functors of stacks on $(\Omega X)^3$ commutes

---

[15] Note that ΩX does not define a simplicial topological space, since the maps $m \circ (id \times m)$ and $m \circ (m \times id)$ are not equal but only homotopic. What one gets is a 2-simplicial object in the 2-category **Top**. This will not cause particular difficulties, since for locally constant objects there is a natural invertible transformation of functors $(m \times id)^{-1} m^{-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} (id \times m)^{-1} m^{-1}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & q_1^{-1}\alpha \circ q_2^{-1}\alpha \circ q_3^{-1}\alpha \arrow[dll, "\sim"'] \arrow[drr, "\sim"] & & \\
q_{12}^{-1}(q_1^{-1}\alpha \circ q_2^{-1}\alpha) \circ q_3^{-1}\alpha \arrow[d, "\nu"'] & & & & q_1^{-1}\alpha \circ q_{23}^{-1}(q_1^{-1}\alpha \circ q_2^{-1}\alpha) \arrow[d, "\nu"] \\
q_{12}^{-1}m^{-1}\alpha \circ q_3^{-1}\alpha \arrow[d, "\wr"'] & & & & q_1^{-1}\alpha \circ q_{23}^{-1}m^{-1}\alpha \arrow[d, "\wr"] \\
(m \times id)^{-1}(q_1^{-1}\alpha \circ q_2^{-1}\alpha) \arrow[dr, "\nu"'] & & & & (id \times m)^{-1}(q_1^{-1}\alpha \circ q_2^{-1}\alpha) \arrow[dl, "\nu"] \\
 & (m \times id)^{-1}m^{-1}\alpha \arrow[rr, "\sim"'] & & (id \times m)^{-1}m^{-1}\alpha \,. &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.2.1}$$

Roughly speaking, ν is given by a family of functorial invertible transformations $\nu_{12} \colon \alpha_{\gamma_1} \circ \alpha_{\gamma_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \alpha_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ for any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Omega X$, such that for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \Omega X$ the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\alpha_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \circ \alpha_{\gamma_3} \arrow[d, "\nu_{12,3}"'] & \alpha_{\gamma_1} \circ \alpha_{\gamma_2} \circ \alpha_{\gamma_3} \arrow[l, "\nu_{12}"'] \arrow[r, "\nu_{23}"] & \alpha_{\gamma_1} \circ \alpha_{\gamma_2\gamma_3} \arrow[d, "\nu_{1,23}"] \\
\alpha_{(\gamma_1\gamma_2)\gamma_3} \arrow[rr, "\sim"] & & \alpha_{\gamma_1(\gamma_2\gamma_3)} .
\end{tikzcd}$$

Definition 2.2.6. We call the triplet $(\mathrm{P}, \alpha, \nu)$ a descent datum for the locally constant stack $\mathfrak{S}$ on X.

Let us analize a particular case, for which the descent datum admits a more familiar description. Let G be a (not necesserly commutative) group. Recall that a G_X-gerbe is a stack locally equivalent to the stack of torsors $\mathfrak{Tors}(G_X) \simeq G[1]_X$, that is a locally constant stack on X with stalk the groupoid $G[1]$. Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be a G_X-gerbe and assume that X is connected and locally relatively 2-connected. By Morita theorem for torsors (see [9] cap. IV), an equivalence $\alpha \colon \mathfrak{Tors}(G_{\Omega X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Tors}(G_{\Omega X})$ is given by $\mathcal{N} \mapsto \mathcal{P} \wedge \mathcal{N}$ for a $G_{\Omega X}$-bitorsor $\mathcal{P}$, where $\cdot \wedge \cdot$ denotes the contracted product. Then, the descent datum for $\mathfrak{G}$ is equivalent to the datum of $(G[1], \mathcal{P}, \nu)$ where

$$\nu \colon q_1^{-1}\mathcal{P} \wedge q_2^{-1}\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} m^{-1}\mathcal{P}$$

is an isomorphism of $G_{\Omega X}$-bitorsors on $(\Omega X)^2$ satisfying a commutative contraint similar to that of diagram (2.2.1). See [6] cap. 6, for related constructions of line bundles on the free loop space of a manifold.

2.3 Degree 2 non abelian cohomology with constant coefficients

Let D be a monoidal category. Denote by $\mathsf{D}[1]$ the 2-category with 1 as single object and $\mathsf{End}_{\mathsf{D}[1]}(1) = \mathsf{D}$. Note that if D is a groupoid whose monoidal structure is rigid[16], then $\mathsf{D}[1]$ is a 2-groupoid. It is easy to see that we get a fully faithful 2-functor

$$[1]\colon \mathbf{Mon} \longrightarrow 2\mathbf{Cat},$$

where we denote by $\mathbf{Mon}$ the strict 2-category of small monoidal categories with monoidal functors and monoidal transformations. This functor sends rigid monoidal groupoids to 2-groupoids. We follow the terminology of [3] and call *gr*-category a rigid monoidal groupoid.

Note that if M is a monoid, then $M[1]$ is monoidal if and only if M is commutative, and that if M is also a group, then $M[1]$ is a *gr*-category. Hence we get fully faithful functors of catgories

$$[2] = [1] \circ [1]\colon \mathsf{Mon}^{\mathsf{c}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Mon} \longrightarrow 2\mathbf{Cat} \qquad , \qquad [2]\colon \mathsf{Gr}^{\mathsf{c}} \longrightarrow 2\mathbf{Gr}$$

where the uppercase c means commutative structures. Conversely, if $\mathbf{G}$ is a connected 2-groupoid, for each object $\mathrm{P} \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathbf{G}$, the inclusion 2-functor $\mathsf{Aut}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathrm{P})[1] \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is a 2-equivalence. If $\mathbf{G}$ is even 1-connected (*i.e.* moreover $\mathsf{Aut}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathrm{P})$ is a connected groupoid for some, hence all, P), then $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathrm{P})[2] \simeq \mathbf{G}$.

For a not necessarily commutative group G, we can consider the strict *gr*-category $\mathsf{Aut}\,(G[1])$ which gives rise to the 2-groupoid

$$G[\![2]\!] = \mathsf{Aut}\,(G[1])[1]$$

Recall that $\mathsf{Aut}\,(G[1])$ is equivalent to $G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G)$. Hence if G is commutative, then $\mathsf{Aut}\,(G[1])$ is completely disconnected but only $\mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathsf{Aut}\,(G[1])$ is G-linear, so we get a monoidal functor

$$\mathsf{Aut}_G(G[1])[1] \simeq G[2] \longrightarrow G[\![2]\!] = \mathsf{Aut}\,(G[1])[1]$$

that identifies $G[2]$ to a sub-2-category of $G[\![2]\!]$ which has only the identity 1-arrow but the same 2-arrows.

Consider an object C of the 2-category $\mathbf{Cat}$ (resp. $\mathbf{Cat}_G$). Then $\mathsf{End}\,(\mathsf{C})[1]$ (resp. $\mathsf{End}_G(\mathsf{C})[1]$) is just the full sub-2-category of $\mathbf{Cat}$ (resp. $\mathbf{Cat}_G$) with the single object C. Hence, $\mathsf{End}\,(\mathsf{C})[1]_X$ (resp. $\mathsf{End}_G(\mathsf{C})[1]_X$) is the 2-stack of locally constant stacks (resp. G_X-linear stacks) on X with stalk C.

If X is a locally relatively 2-connected space, by Theorem 2.2.5 equivalence classes of such stacks are classified by the set

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\mathbf{Hom}\,(\Pi_2(X), \mathsf{Aut}\,(\mathsf{C})[1])). \tag{2.3.1}$$

---

[16] Recall that a monoidal category $(\mathsf{D}, \otimes)$ is rigid if for each $P \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathsf{D}$ there exists an object P^* and natural ismorphisms $P \otimes P^* \simeq I$ and $P^* \otimes P \simeq I$, where I denotes the unit object in D.

Assume moreover that X is connected. Then the 2-groupoid $\Pi_2(X)$ is connected, hence it is equivalent to $\Pi_1(\Omega X)[1]$ for some base point $x_0 \in X$. Hence there is a natural surjective map

$$\pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_{\otimes}(\Pi_1(\Omega X), \mathsf{Aut}\,(\mathsf{C}))) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\mathbf{Hom}\,(\Pi_1(\Omega X)[1], \mathsf{Aut}\,(\mathsf{C})[1])),$$

where $\mathsf{Hom}_{\otimes}(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the category of monoidal functors. One checks that, given two monoidal functors $\Phi, \Psi \colon \Pi_1(\Omega X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Aut}\,(\mathsf{C})$, they give equivalent 2-functors if and only if there exists an equivalence $\varphi\colon \mathsf{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{C}$ and an invertible monoidal transformation $\alpha\colon \Phi(\cdot)\circ\varphi \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi\circ\Psi(\cdot)$. We thus get that the set (2.3.1) is isomorphic to

$$\pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_{\otimes}(\Pi_1(\Omega X), \mathsf{Aut}\,(\mathsf{C})))/\operatorname{Pic}(\mathsf{C}), \tag{2.3.2}$$

where the group $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathsf{C})$ acts by conjugation. A similar reslut holds, replacing **Cat** by $\mathbf{Cat}_G$.

The previous classification becomes very simple in the following case:

Proposition 2.3.1. *Let X be connected and locally relatively 2-connected. If C is a category with trivial center, then the set of equivalence classes of locally constant stacks on X with stalk C is isomorphic to $H^1(X; \operatorname{Pic}(\mathsf{C})_X)$.*

Proof. Suppose for simplicity that C is a groupoid. Since $\mathrm{Z}(\mathsf{C}) \simeq 1$, the monoidal functor $\mathsf{Aut}\,(\mathsf{C}) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \operatorname{Pic}(\mathsf{C})[0]$ is an equivalence, where the group $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathsf{C})$ is view as a discrete category. Hence it easy to check that

$$\pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_{\otimes}(\Pi_1(\Omega X), \operatorname{Pic}(\mathsf{C})[0])) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_1(X), \operatorname{Pic}(\mathsf{C})).$$

Hence $\boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\mathbf{Hom}\,(\Pi_2(X), \mathsf{Aut}\,(\mathsf{C})[1]))$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_1(X), \operatorname{Pic}(\mathsf{C}))/\operatorname{Pic}(\mathsf{C})$, where $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathsf{C})$ acts by conjugation. It remains to apply Proposition 1.3.1. ☐

Let us analyze more in detail the case of gerbes. We start with the abelian case. Let G be a commutative group and take $\mathsf{C} = G[1]$. Since there is an obvious equivalence of strict *gr*-categories $G[1] \simeq \mathsf{End}_G(G[1])$, we get that $G[2]$ is just the full sub-2-category of $\mathbf{Cat}_G$ with the single object $G[1]$. Hence the 2-category $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(X, G[2]_X)$ is equivalent to the strict 2-category of abelian[17] G_X-gerbes $\mathbf{Ger}_{ab}(G_X)$.
By some cocycle arguments (see for example [6] cap. IV), one shows that there is an isomorphism of groups

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\mathbf{Ger}_{ab}(G_X)) \simeq H^2(X; G_X).$$

Assume that X is locally relatively 2-connected. By Theorem 2.2.5, there is an equivalence of monoidal 2-categories

$$\mathbf{Ger}_{ab}(G_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Hom}\,(\Pi_2(X), G[2]).$$

Since $\operatorname{Pic}_G(G[1]) \simeq 1$, if X is connected (2.3.2) gives the group $\pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_{\otimes}(\Pi_1(\Omega X), G[1]))$. Hence we get

---

[17] Recall that an abelian G_X-gerbe is a G_X-linear stack locally G_X-equivalent to the G_X-linear stack of torsors $\mathfrak{Tors}(G_X) \simeq G[1]_X$ (we refer to [9, 2], and [6] for a complete introduction to abelian gerbes).

Proposition 2.3.2 (Hurewicz-Hopf's formula). *Let X be connected and locally relatively 2-connected. Then for any commutative group G there is an isomorphism of groups*

$$H^2(X;G_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_\otimes(\Pi_1(\Omega X), G[1])).$$

To give an explicit description of the right hand side, let us start by considering a *gr*-category H. Recall that there exists an "essentially exact"[18] sequence of *gr*-categories

$$\begin{tikzcd} 1 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}[1] \arrow[r, "i"] & \mathsf{H} \arrow[r, "\pi_0"] & \pi_0(\mathsf{H})[0] \arrow[r] & 1, \end{tikzcd}$$

where A_{H} denotes the commutative group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathsf{H}}(I)$ of automorphims of the unit object, and the group $\pi_0(\mathsf{H})$ is view as discrete category, which acts on A_{H} by conjugation. Then, if G is another *gr*-category, we get an exact sequence of pointed sets

$$\begin{tikzcd} 1 \arrow[r] & \pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_\otimes(\pi_0(\mathsf{H})[0], \mathsf{G})) \arrow[r] & \pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_\otimes(\mathsf{H}, \mathsf{G})) \arrow[r] & \pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_\otimes(\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}[1], \mathsf{G})). \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.3.3}$$

Lemma 2.3.3. *Let $\mathsf{G} = G[1]$, for G an abelian group. Then (2.3.3) gives an exact sequence of abelian groups*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 1 \arrow[r] & H^2(\pi_0(\mathsf{H}); G) \arrow[r] & \pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_\otimes(\mathsf{H}, G[1])) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}, G), \end{tikzcd}$$

where G is view as a $\pi_0(\mathsf{H})$-module with trivial action.

Proof. Set $H = \pi_0(\mathsf{H})$. It is easy to see that a monoidal functor $H[0] \longrightarrow G[1]$ is given by a set-theoretic function $\lambda\colon H \times H \to G$ such that

$$\lambda(h_1,h_2)\lambda(h_1h_2,h_3) = \lambda(h_2,h_3)\lambda(h_1,h_2h_3),$$

and that two monoidal functors λ, λ' are isomorphic if and only if there exists a function $\nu\colon H \to G$ such that

$$\lambda(h_1,h_2)\nu(h_1h_2) = \lambda'(h_1,h_2)\nu(h_1)\nu(h_2).$$

Hence we get an isomorphism of groups

$$\pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_\otimes(H[0], G[1]) \simeq H^2(H;G),$$

where G is view as a H-module with trivial action.
Similarly, one easily checks that $\pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_\otimes(\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}[1], G[1]))$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}, G)$. □

---

[18] This means that the monoidal functor i (resp. π_0) is fully faithfull (resp. essentially surjective) and that the essential image of i is equivalent to the kernel of π_0 as monoidal categories.

Let $\mathrm{Hom}_{\pi_0(\mathsf{H})}(\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}, G)$ denote the subgroup of morphisms in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}, G)$ which are $\pi_0(\mathsf{H})$-linear. One easily checks that the morphism $\pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_{\otimes}(\mathsf{H}, G[1])) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}, G)$ factors through $\mathrm{Hom}_{\pi_0(\mathsf{H})}(\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}, G)$. Then one gets an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$\begin{tikzcd} 1 \arrow[r] & H^2(\pi_0(\mathsf{H}); G) \arrow[r] & \pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_{\otimes}(\mathsf{H}, G[1])) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\pi_0(\mathsf{H})}(\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}, G) \arrow[r, "\delta"] & H^3(\pi_0(\mathsf{H}); G) \end{tikzcd}$$

where the coboundary morphism δ is described as follows.
Recall that to the *gr*-category H one associates a cohomology class[19] $[\mathsf{H}]$ in $H^3(\pi_0(\mathsf{H}); \mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}})$, where A_{H} is endowed with the conjugation action of $\pi_0(\mathsf{H})$ (see for example [3]). Hence, to each $\pi_0(\mathsf{H})$-linear morphism $f\colon \mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}} \to G$, one associates the image of $[\mathsf{H}]$ by the induced morphism $\hat{f}\colon H^3(\pi_0(\mathsf{H}); \mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}) \to H^3(\pi_0(\mathsf{H}); G)$.

Lemma 2.3.4. *Suppose that the class* $[\mathsf{H}]$ *vanishes in* $H^3(\pi_0(\mathsf{H}); \mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}})$. *Then for any commutative group* G*, there is a split exact sequence of abelian groups*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 1 \arrow[r] & H^2(\pi_0(\mathsf{H}); G) \arrow[r] & \pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_{\otimes}(\mathsf{H}, G[1])) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\pi_0(\mathsf{H})}(\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}, G) \arrow[r] & 1. \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.3.4}$$

Proof. By definition of δ, we clearly get the exact sequence (2.3.4). One possible way to show that it splits is the following. Since $[\mathsf{H}]$ is trivial in $H^3(\pi_0(\mathsf{H}); \mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}})$, the "essentially exact" sequence of *gr*-categories

$$\begin{tikzcd} 1 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}[1] \arrow[r] & \mathsf{H} \arrow[r] & \pi_0(\mathsf{H})[0] \arrow[r] & 1 \end{tikzcd}$$

splits. This means that there is an equivalence of *gr*-categories $\mathsf{H} \simeq \left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}} \xrightarrow{1} \pi_0(\mathsf{H})\right)$. Hence, a direct computation as in Lemma 2.3.3 shows that there is an isomorphism of groups

$$\pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_{\otimes}(\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}} \xrightarrow{1} \pi_0(\mathsf{H}), G[1])) \simeq H^2(\pi_0(\mathsf{H}); G) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\pi_0(\mathsf{H})}(\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}, G).$$

□

For $\mathsf{H} = \Pi_1(\Omega X)$, we have $\pi_0(\Pi_1(\Omega X)) = \pi_1(X)$ and $\mathrm{A}_{\Pi_1(\Omega X)} = \pi_2(X)$ and the class $k_2(X) = [\Pi_1(\Omega X)]$ in $H^3(\pi_1(X); \pi_2(X))$ is the so-called first Postnikov k-invariant of X. Hence, using Lemma 2.3.3, 2.3.4 and Proposition 2.3.2, we get

Corollary 2.3.5 (Hopf's theorem for 2-cohomology). *Let* X *be connected and locally relatively 2-connected and* G *a commutative group.*

(i) There exists an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$\begin{tikzcd} 1 \arrow[r] & H^2(\pi_1(X); G) \arrow[r] & H^2(X; G_X) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\pi_1(X)}(\pi_2(X), G) \arrow[r, "\delta"] & H^3(\pi_1(X); G), \end{tikzcd}$$

where G *is view as a* $\pi_1(X)$*-module with trivial action.*

---

[19] If $\mathsf{H} = H^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} H^0$, this class coincides with the usual one in $H^3(\operatorname{coker} d; \ker d)$ attached to the crossed module $H^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} H^0$. See for example [4, cap. V].

(ii) If moreover the Postnikov invariant $k_2(X)$ vanishes in $H^3(\pi_1(X);\pi_2(X))$, there is a split exact sequence of abelian groups

$$1 \longrightarrow H^2(\pi_1(X);G) \longrightarrow H^2(X;G_X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\pi_1(X)}(\pi_2(X),G) \longrightarrow 1. \quad (2.3.5)$$

Recall that $H^2(\pi_1(X);G) \simeq \mathcal{E}_c(\pi_1(X);G)$, the group of equivalence classes of central extensions of $\pi_1(X)$ by G. Hence (2.3.5) takes a similar form as the Universal Coefficient Theorem.

Now, let G be a not necessarily commutative group and consider $\mathsf{C} = G[1]$. Then the 2-category $\mathbf{\Gamma}(X, \mathsf{End}\,(G[1])[1]_X)$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{Ger}(G_X)$, the strict 2-category of G_X-gerbes. One may show (see for example [3]) that there is an isomorphism of pointed sets[20]

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\mathbf{Ger}(G_X)) \simeq H^1(X; G_X \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G_X)),$$

where the right hand side is the first cohomology set of X with values in the sheaf of crossed modules $G_X \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G_X)$.

Assume that X is locally relatively 2-connected. By Theorem 2.2.5, there is an equivalence of 2-categories

$$\mathbf{Ger}(G_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Hom}\,(\Pi_2(X), \mathsf{End}\,(G[1])[1]).$$

Since $\operatorname{Pic}(G[1]) \simeq \operatorname{Out}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G)$, from (2.3.2) we get

Proposition 2.3.6 (Hurewicz-Hopf's formula II). *Let X be connected and locally relatively 2-connected. Then for any group G there is an isomorphism of pointed sets*

$$H^1(X; G_X \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G_X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_{\otimes}(\Pi_1(\Omega X), G \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G)))/\operatorname{Out}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G).$$

With similar computations as for the commutative case, we get the following

Lemma 2.3.7. *Let $\mathsf{G} = G^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} G^0$. Then* (2.3.3) *gives an exact sequence of pointed sets*

$$1 \longrightarrow H^1(\pi_0(\mathsf{H}); G^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} G^0) \longrightarrow \pi_0(\mathsf{Hom}_{\otimes}(\mathsf{H}, G^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} G^0)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\mathrm{A}_{\mathsf{H}}, \ker d),$$

where the first term is the cohomolgy set of $\pi_0(\mathsf{H})$ with values in the crossed module $G^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} G^0$ (see for example [2]).

Combining Proposition 2.3.6 and Lemma 2.3.7 with $\mathsf{H} = \Pi_1(\Omega X)$ and $\mathsf{G} = G \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G)$, we get

---

[20] The pointed set $\boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\mathbf{Ger}(G_X))$ is sometimes denoted by $H^2_g(X;G_X)$ and called the Giraud's second non abelian cohomology set of X with values in G_X.

Corollary 2.3.8 (Hopf's theorem for non abelian 2-cohomology). *Let X be connected and locally relatively 2-connected. Then for each group G there is an exact sequence of pointed sets*

$$1 \longrightarrow H^1(\pi_1(X); G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G))/\operatorname{Out}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G) \longrightarrow H^1(X; G_X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G_X)) \longrightarrow$$

$$\longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_2(X), \mathrm{Z}(G))/\operatorname{Out}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G),$$

where the action of $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G)$ *on* $H^1(\pi_1(X); G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G))$ *is by conjugation, and that on* $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_2(X), \mathrm{Z}(G))$ *is induced by the natural action on* $\mathrm{Z}(G)$. *If moreover* $\pi_1(X)$ *is trivial, one gets an isomorphism ("Hurewicz's formula")*

$$H^1(X; G_X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G_X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_2(X), \mathrm{Z}(G))/\operatorname{Out}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G),$$

A similar result holds replacing $G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G)$ by a general crossed module $G^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} G^0$.

Recall that the pointed set $H^1(\pi_1(X); G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G))$ classifies extensions of $\pi_1(X)$ by G. Denoting by $\mathcal{E}(\pi_1(X); G)$ the set of equivalence classes of such extensions and by $H^2_g(X; G_X)$ the set $H^2(X; G_X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G_X))$, the previous sequence takes the more familiar form

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(\pi_1(X); G)/\operatorname{Out}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G) \longrightarrow H^2_g(X; G_X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_2(X), \mathrm{Z}(G))/\operatorname{Out}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(G).$$

Final comments

What's next? It seems clear that, using the same technique, one should expect for each n-category $\mathbf{C}$ and each locally relatively n-connected space X a natural n-equivalence

$$\boldsymbol{\mu^n} \colon \mathbf{\Gamma}(X, \mathbf{C}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Hom}_{n\mathbf{Cat}}(\Pi_n(X), \mathbf{C}), \tag{2.3.6}$$

where $\mathbf{\Gamma}(X, \mathbf{C}_X)$ denotes the global sections of the constant n-stack with stalk $\mathbf{C}$, $\Pi_n(X)$ the homotopy n-groupoid of X and $\mathbf{Hom}_{n\mathbf{Cat}}(\cdot,\cdot)$ the n-category of n-functors. However, some care has to be taken since there are several non-equivalent definitions of n-categories for $n \geqslant 3$ (see for example [11]). We will not investigate this problem any further here. An answer in this direction, using the formalism of Segal categories, is partially given in [13] for $\mathbf{C} = (n-1)\mathbf{Cat}$, the strict n-category of $(n-1)$-categories, and X a pointed and connected CW-complex.

Let us suppose for a while that formula (2.3.6) is valid and consider a commutative group G. Denote by $G[n]$ the strict *gr-n*-category with a single element, trivial i-arrows for $i \leq n-1$ and G as n-arrows. Then one may check that there is an isomorphism of groups

$$H^n(X; G_X) \simeq \boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\mathbf{\Gamma}(X, G[n]_X)),$$

where the right-hand side is the group of n-equivalence classes of global objects in $G[n]_X$. Suppose that X is locally relatively n-connected. From (2.3.6), we have an isomorphism of groups

$$H^n(X;G_X) \simeq \boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\mathbf{Hom}_{n\mathbf{Cat}}(\Pi_n(X), G[n])). \tag{2.3.7}$$

This isomorphism should be interpreted as the "Hurewicz-Hopf's formula". Indeed, if we suppose that X is connected and that $\pi_i(X) \simeq 1$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, we get an "essentially exact" sequence of gr-$(n-1)$-categories

$$\begin{tikzcd} 1 \arrow[r] & \pi_n(X)[n-1] \arrow[r] & \Pi_{n-1}(\Omega X) \arrow[r] & \pi_1(X)[0] \arrow[r] & 1, \end{tikzcd}$$

and hence an exact sequence of groups

$$\begin{tikzcd} 1 \arrow[r] & \boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\mathbf{Hom}_{\otimes}(\pi_1(X)[0], G[n-1])) \arrow[r] & \boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\mathbf{Hom}_{\otimes}(\Pi_{n-1}(\Omega X), G[n-1])) \longrightarrow \end{tikzcd}$$

$$\longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\mathbf{Hom}_{\otimes}(\pi_n(X)[n-1], G[n-1]))$$

From the isomorphism (2.3.7) and a direct calculation, we shall finally get the Hopf's exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 1 \arrow[r] & H^n(\pi_1(X);G) \arrow[r] & H^n(X;G_X) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_n(X), G), \end{tikzcd}$$

where G is view as a $\pi_1(X)$-module with trivial action (we refer to [8], for a classical proof of this result). If moreover $\pi_1(X) \simeq 1$, the Hurewicz's morphism $H^n(X;G_X) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Gr}}(\pi_n(X), G)$ is an isomorphism.

If G is a not necessarily commutative group, we define the n-groupoid $G[\![n]\!]$ by induction as

$$G[\![1]\!] = G[1], \qquad G[\![n+1]\!] = \mathbf{Aut}_{n\mathbf{Cat}}(G[\![n]\!])[1],$$

where $\mathbf{Aut}_{n\mathbf{Cat}}(G[\![n]\!])$ denotes the gr-n-category of auto-n-equivalence of $G[\![n]\!]$ (note that, when G is commutative, if we require G-linearity at each step in the definition of $G[\![n]\!]$, we recover $G[n]$). Then one may define the non abelian n-cohomology set of X with coefficient in G_X as

$$H^n_g(X;G) = \boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\mathbf{\Gamma}(X, G[\![n]\!]_X)).$$

If X is locally relatively n-connected, then the n-equivalence (2.3.6) gives an isomorphism of pointed sets

$$H^n_g(X;G) \simeq \boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\mathbf{Hom}_{n\mathbf{Cat}}(\Pi_n(X), G[\![n]\!])).$$

This is the non abelian version of the "Hurewicz-Hopf's formula".

A The stack of sheaves with values in a complete category

We recall here the construction of the stack of sheaves with values in a complete category C, *i.e.* a category which admits all small limits.

Let X be a topological space and denote by $\mathsf{Op}(X)$ the category of its open subset with inclusions morphisms.

Definition A.0.9. A presheaf on X with values in C is a functor

$$\mathsf{Op}(X)^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathsf{C}.$$

A morphism between presheaves is a morphism of functors. We denote by $\mathsf{PSh}_X(\mathsf{C})$ the category of presheaves on X with values in C.
A presheaf is called a sheaf if it commutes to filtered limits indexed by coverings that are stable by finite intersection[21], and we denote by $\mathsf{Sh}_X(\mathsf{C})$ the full subcategory of $\mathsf{PSh}_X(\mathsf{C})$ whose objects are sheaves.

Note that if $U \subset X$ is an open subset and $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf on X, then its restriction $\mathcal{F}|_U$ is also a sheaf. Hence we can define the prestack of sheaves on X, denoted by $\mathfrak{Sh}_X(\mathsf{C})$, by assigning $X \supset U \mapsto \mathsf{Sh}_U(\mathsf{C})$.

Let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ be two presheaves on X. We have a natural bijective map of sets

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{PSh}_X(\mathsf{C})}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{\substack{(U,V) \\ V \subset U}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(\mathcal{F}(U), \mathcal{G}(V))$$

where (U, V) is considered as an object of $\mathsf{Op}(X)^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathsf{Op}(X)$. Now let $U \subset X$ be an open subset, $\mathcal{F}$ a presheaf on X and $\mathcal{G}$ a presheaf on U. Then it is easy to see that we have the isomorphism of sets

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{PSh}_U(\mathsf{C})}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{\substack{(V,W) \\ W \subset V \subset U}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(\mathcal{F}(V), \mathcal{G}(W)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{\substack{(V,W) \\ W \subset V \subset X}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(\mathcal{F}(V), \mathcal{G}(W \cap U)).$$

Lemma A.0.10. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a presheaf and $\mathcal{G}$ a sheaf on X. Then the presheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{PSh}_X(\mathsf{C})}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ defined by*

$$X \supset U \mapsto \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{PSh}_X(\mathsf{C})}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})(U) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{PSh}_U(\mathsf{C})}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$$

is a sheaf of sets.

Proof. We have to show that $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{PSh}_X(\mathsf{C})}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ commutes to small filtered limits indexed by coverings that are stable by finite intersection. Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be such a covering of an

---

[21] Recall that, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a presheaf of sets the sheaf condition means that for any open subset $U \subset X$, and any open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of U, the natural sequence given by the restriction maps

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{F}(U) \arrow[r] & \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & \prod_{i,j \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_{ij}) \end{tikzcd}$$

is exact in the usual sense.

open subset $U \subset X$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{PSh}_X(\mathsf{C})}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})(U) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{PSh}_U(\mathsf{C})}(\mathcal{F}|_U,\mathcal{G}|_U) &\simeq \varprojlim_{\substack{(V,W)\\ W\subset V}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(\mathcal{F}(V),\mathcal{G}(U\cap W))\\
&\simeq \varprojlim_{\substack{(V,W)\\ W\subset V}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(\mathcal{F}(V),\varprojlim_{i\in I}\mathcal{G}(U_i\cap W))\\
&\simeq \varprojlim_{\substack{(V,W)\\ W\subset V}} \varprojlim_{i\in I} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(\mathcal{F}(V),\mathcal{G}(U_i\cap W))\\
&\simeq \varprojlim_{i\in I} \varprojlim_{\substack{(V,W)\\ W\subset V}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(\mathcal{F}(V),\mathcal{G}(U_i\cap W))\\
&\simeq \varprojlim_{i\in I} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{PSh}_{U_i}(\mathsf{C})}(\mathcal{F}|_{U_i},\mathcal{G}|_{U_i}) \simeq \varprojlim_{i\in I}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{PSh}_X(\mathsf{C})}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})(U_i).
\end{aligned}$$

☐

Lemma A.0.11. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a presheaf on X. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf if and only if for any object $A \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathsf{C}$ and any open subset $U \subset X$ the presheaf*

$$U \supset V \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(A,\mathcal{F}(V))$$

is a sheaf of sets.

Proof. Follows immediately from Yoneda's Lemma. ☐

Proposition A.0.12. *The prestack $\mathfrak{Sh}_X(\mathsf{C})$ of sheaves with values in C is a stack.*

Proof. By Lemma A.0.10, the prestack is seperated. Now let $(\{U_i\}_{i\in I},\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i\in I},\{\theta_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I})$ be a descent datum for $\mathfrak{Sh}_X(\mathsf{C})$ on open subset $U \subset X$. By taking a refinement, we can assume that the covering $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ is stable by finite intersections.
Let $V \subset U$. Then the cocycle condition allows us to define

$$\mathcal{F}(V) = \varprojlim_{i\in I}\mathcal{F}_i(V\cap U_i).$$

It is then obvious that $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf (for instance using Lemma A.0.11 and the fact that this is true if $\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{Set}$) which by construction is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_i$ on U_i by an isomorphism θ_i such that $\theta_{ij}\circ\theta_j = \theta_i$ on $U_i \cap U_j$. ☐

Proposition A.0.13. *The stack $\mathfrak{Sh}_X(\mathsf{C})$ admits all small limits.*

Proof. Let $\beta\colon \mathsf{I} \to \mathsf{Sh}_X(\mathsf{C})$ be a functor, with I a small category. Then, for each open subset $U \subset X$, set

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \varprojlim_{i\in\mathsf{I}}\beta(i)(U).$$

It is immediately verified that $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf on X that satisfies $\mathcal{F} \simeq \varprojlim_{i\in\mathsf{I}}\beta(i)$. ☐

Definition A.0.14. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a presheaf. A sheaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ together with a morphism $\mathcal{F} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is called the sheaf associated to $\mathcal{F}$ if it satisfies the usual universal property, *i.e.* any morphism from $\mathcal{F}$ into a sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ factors uniquely through $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{F} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{G} \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition A.0.15. *Let $M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathsf{C}$. Assume that X is locally connected. Then the sheaf associated to the constant presheaf with stalk M exists.*

Proof. Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset. Denote by $\#U$ the set of connected components of U. Set

$$M_X(U) = M^{\#U}.$$

Let $x \in U$. Then we denote by $\overline{x}_U$ its class in $\#U$.
For any inclusion $V \subset U$ of open subsets and for any $x \in V$, we have the natural morphisms

$$M_X(U) \to M_{\overline{x}_U} \to M_{\overline{x}_V}.$$

These morphisms define the morphism

$$M_X(U) \to M_X(V).$$

Since we know that this is a sheaf if $\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{Set}$, Lemma A.0.11 implies that M_X is a sheaf which verifies the desired universal property. ☐

Definition A.0.16. Let $M \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathsf{C}$. The sheaf associated to the constant presheaf with stalk M is called the constant sheaf with stalk M, and we denote it by M_X.

Remark A.0.17. The hypothesis on the connectivity of X in the Proposition A.0.15 is necessary to recover the classical definition of constant sheaf. More precisely, if M is a set and M_X is the constant sheaf defined in the usual way, there is a natural injective map (the 0-monodromy) $\mu^0 \colon M_X(X) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\#X, M) \simeq M^{\#X}$ defined by $\mu^0(s)(\overline{x}_X) = s(\overline{x}_X)$ for a section s in $M_X(X)$. Clearly, if X is locally connected, μ^0 is a bijection.

Let X be a locally connected topological space. Denote by $\mathsf{CSh}_X(\mathsf{C})$ the full subcategory of $\mathsf{Sh}_X(\mathsf{C})$ of constant sheaves. The previous construction defines a faithful functor

$$(\cdot)_X \colon \mathsf{C} \longrightarrow \mathsf{CSh}_X(\mathsf{C}),$$

which is an equivalence if X is connected (a quasi-inverse is given by the global sections functor).

Definition A.0.18. A sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is called locally constant if there is an open covering $X = \bigcup U_i$ such that $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$ is isomorphic to a constant sheaf.
We denote by $\mathfrak{LcSh}_X(\mathsf{C})$ the full substack of $\mathfrak{Sh}_X(\mathsf{C})$ whose objects are the locally constant sheaves.

B The 2-stack of stacks with values in a 2-complete 2-category

Let us recall the construction of the 2-stack of stacks with values in a 2-complete 2-category $\mathbf{C}$, *i.e.* a 2-category which admits all small 2-limits (references for the basic definitions about 2-stacks are made to [2]). Recall that the 2-Yoneda lemma states that the 2-functor

$$\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{Hom}\,(\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Cat}) \quad ; \quad \mathrm{P} \mapsto \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\,\cdot\,, \mathrm{P})$$

is fully faithful (see for example [11, cap. 1], for more details). Since $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}$ is strict, the reader may assume for sake of simplicity that $\mathbf{C}$ is a strict 2-category.

Let X be a topological space and denote by $\mathbf{Op}(X)$ the 2-category of its open subsets, obtained by trivially enriching $\mathsf{Op}(X)$ with identity 2-arrows.

Definition B.0.19. A prestack on X with values in $\mathbf{C}$ is a 2-functor

$$\mathbf{Op}(X)^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}.$$

A functor between prestacks is a 2-transformation of 2-functors and transformations of functors of prestacks are modifications of 2-transformations of 2-functors. We denote by $\mathbf{PSt}_X(\mathbf{C})$ the 2-category of prestacks on X with values in $\mathbf{C}$.
A prestack is called a stack if it commutes to filtered 2-limits indexed by coverings that are stable by finite intersection[22], and we denote by $\mathbf{St}_X(\mathbf{C})$ the full subcategory of $\mathbf{PSt}_X(\mathbf{C})$ whose objects are stacks.

Note that if $U \subset X$ is an open subset and $\mathfrak{S}$ is a stack on X, then its restriction $\mathfrak{S}|_U$ is also a stack. Hence the assignment $X \supset U \mapsto \mathbf{St}_U(\mathbf{C})$ defines the pre-2-stack of stacks on X with values in $\mathbf{C}$, which we denote by $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{St}}_X(\mathbf{C})$.
Let $\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{T}$ be two prestacks on X. We have a natural equivalence of categories

$$\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{PSt}_X(\mathbf{C})}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} 2\varprojlim_{\substack{(U,V)\\ V\subset U}} \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{S}(U), \mathfrak{T}(V))$$

where (U,V) is considered as an object of $\mathbf{Op}(X)^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathbf{Op}(X)$. Now let $U \subset X$ be an open subset, $\mathfrak{S}$ a prestack on X and $\mathfrak{T}$ a prestack on U. Then it is easy to see that we have the equivalence of categories

$$\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{PSt}_U(\mathbf{C})}(\mathfrak{S}|_U, \mathfrak{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} 2\varprojlim_{\substack{(V,W)\\ W\subset V\subset U}} \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{S}(V), \mathfrak{T}(W)) \xrightarrow{\sim} 2\varprojlim_{\substack{(V,W)\\ W\subset V\subset X}} \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{S}(V), \mathfrak{T}(W\cap U)).$$

Similarly to the case of sheaves, we have

---

[22] Similarly to the definition of sheaf, if $\mathfrak{S}$ is a prestack of categories the stack condition means that for any open subset $U \subset X$, and any open covering $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of U, the natural sequence given by the restriction functors

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathfrak{S}(U) \arrow[r] & \prod_{i\in I}\mathfrak{S}(U_i) \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & \prod_{i,j\in I}\mathfrak{S}(U_{ij}) \arrow[r, shift left=2] \arrow[r] \arrow[r, shift right=2] & \prod_{i,j,k\in I}\mathfrak{S}(U_{ijk}) \end{tikzcd}$$

is exact in the sense of [SGA1, exposé XIII].

Lemma B.0.20. *Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a prestack and $\mathfrak{T}$ be a stack on X.*
Then the prestack $\mathfrak{Hom}_{\mathbf{PSt}_X(\mathbf{C})}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{T})$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{Hom}_{\mathbf{PSt}_X(\mathbf{C})}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{T})(U) = \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{PSt}_U(\mathbf{C})}(\mathfrak{S}|_U, \mathfrak{T}|_U)$$

is a stack of categories.

Moreover, using the 2-Yoneda's Lemma, we get

Lemma B.0.21. *Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a prestack on X. Then $\mathfrak{S}$ is a stack if and only if for any object* $\mathrm{P} \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathbf{C}$ *and any open subset $U \subset X$ the prestack*

$$U \supset V \mapsto \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{P}, \mathfrak{S}(V))$$

is a stack of categories.

Proposition B.0.22. *The pre-2-stack $\mathfrak{St}_X(\mathbf{C})$ of stacks with values in $\mathbf{C}$ is a 2-stack.*

Proof. By Lemma B.0.20, the pre-2-stack is seperated. Now let

$$(\{U_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\mathfrak{S}_i\}_{i \in I}, \{F_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}, \{\varphi_{ijk}\}_{i,j,k \in I})$$

be a descent datum for $\mathfrak{St}_X(\mathbf{C})$ on open subset $U \subset X$. This means that $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an open covering of U, $\mathfrak{S}_i$ are stacks on U_i, $F_{ij} \colon \mathfrak{S}_j|_{U_{ij}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{S}_i|_{U_{ij}}$ are equivalences of stacks and $\varphi_{ijk} \colon \varphi_{ij} \circ \varphi_{jk} \to \varphi_{ik}$ are invertible transformations of functors from $\mathfrak{S}_k|_{U_{ijk}}$ to $\mathfrak{S}_i|_{U_{ijk}}$, such that for any $i, j, k, l \in I$, the following diagram of transformations of functors from $\mathfrak{S}_l|_{U_{ijkl}}$ to $\mathfrak{S}_i|_{U_{ijkl}}$ commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{ij} \circ F_{jk} \circ F_{kl} \arrow[r, "\varphi_{ijk}"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_{jkl}"] & F_{ik} \circ F_{kl} \arrow[d, "\varphi_{ikl}"] \\
F_{ij} \circ F_{jl} \arrow[r, "\varphi_{ijl}"] & F_{il}.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{B.0.8}$$

By taking a refinement, we can assume that the covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is stable by finite intersections.
Let $V \subset U$ be an open subset. Then the cocycle condition (B.0.8) allows us to define the category

$$\mathfrak{S}(V) = 2\varprojlim_{i \in I} \mathfrak{S}_i(V \cap U_i).$$

It is then obvious that the assignment $U \supset V \mapsto \mathfrak{S}(V)$ defines a stack $\mathfrak{S}$ (for instance using Lemma B.0.21 and the fact that this is true if $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$) and that, by construction, there are e quivalences of stacks $F_i \colon \mathfrak{S}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{S}_i$. Moreover, one checks that there exist invertible transformations of functors $\varphi_{ij} \colon F_{ij} \circ F_j|_{U_{ij}} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_i|_{U_{ij}}$ such that $\varphi_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}} \circ \varphi_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}} = \varphi_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}} \circ \varphi_{ijk}$. ☐

Proposition B.0.23. *The 2-stack $\mathfrak{St}_X(\mathbf{C})$ admits all small 2-limits.*

Proof. Let $\beta\colon \mathbf{I} \to \mathbf{St}_X(\mathbf{C})$ be a 2-functor, with $\mathbf{I}$ a small 2-category. Then, for each open subset $U \subset X$, set

$$\mathfrak{S}(U) = 2\varprojlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} \beta(i)(U).$$

It is immediately verified that $\mathfrak{S}$ is a stack on X that satisfies $\mathfrak{S} \simeq 2\varprojlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} \beta(i)$. □

Definition B.0.24. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a prestack. A stack $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}$ together with a functor $\mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}$ is called the stack associated to $\mathfrak{S}$ if it satisfies the usual universal property, *i.e.* any functor from $\mathfrak{S}$ into a stack $\mathfrak{T}$ factors through $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}$ up to unique equivalence:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{S} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{T} \\
\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}} \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition B.0.25. *Let* $\mathrm{P} \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathbf{C}$. *Assume that* X *is locally 1-connected. Then the stack associated to the constant prestack with stalk* P *exists.*

Proof. Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset. Set

$$\mathrm{P}_X(U) = \mathrm{P}^{\Pi_1(U)},$$

where $\mathrm{P}^{\Pi_1(U)}$ denotes the 2-limit of the constant 2-functor $\Delta(P)\colon \Pi_1(U) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ at P. Let $x \in V \subset U$ and denote by x_U the image of x in $\Pi_1(U)$ by the natural functor $\Pi_1(V) \longrightarrow \Pi_1(U)$. Set $\mathrm{P}_{x_U} = \Delta(P)(x_U)$ and similarly for P_{x_V}. Then we have the natural 1-arrows in $\mathbf{C}$

$$\mathrm{P}_X(U) \longrightarrow \mathrm{P}_{x_U} \longrightarrow \mathrm{P}_{x_V},$$

which define the 1-arrow

$$\mathrm{P}_X(U) \longrightarrow \mathrm{P}_X(V).$$

If $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$, then P is a category and by Theorem 1.2.9 there are equivalences $\mathrm{P}^{\Pi_1(U)} \simeq \mathsf{Hom}\,(\Pi_1(U), \mathrm{P}) \xleftarrow[\mu]{\sim} \mathrm{P}_U(U)$, hence the assignment $X \supset U \mapsto \mathrm{P}^{\Pi_1(U)}$ defines the stack of locally constant sheaves on U with values in P. In the general case, one use Lemma B.0.21 to show that this construction gives a stack which verifies the desired universal property. □

Definition B.0.26. Let $\mathrm{P} \in \operatorname{Ob}\mathbf{C}$. The stack associated to the constant prestack with stalk P is called the constant stack with stalk P, and we denote it by P_X.

Let X be a locally 1-connected topological space. Denote by $\mathbf{CSt}_X(\mathbf{C})$ the full sub-2-category of $\mathbf{St}_X(\mathbf{C})$ of constant stacks. The previous construction defines a faithful 2-functor

$$(\cdot)_X\colon \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{CSt}_X(\mathbf{C}),$$

which is an equivalence if X is 1-connected (a quasi-2-inverse is given by the global sections 2-functor). This easily follows from Corollary 1.2.8.

Definition B.0.27. A stack $\mathfrak{S}$ is called locally constant if there exists an open covering $X = \bigcup U_i$ such that $\mathfrak{S}|_{U_i}$ is isomorphic to a constant stack.
We denote by $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{LcSh}}_X(\mathbf{C})$ the full sub-2-stack of $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{St}}_X(\mathbf{C})$ whose objects are the locally constant stacks.

References

[1] F. Borceux, *Handbook of Categorical Algebra. 1. Basic Category Theory*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 50, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994).

[2] L. Breen, *Bitorseurs et Cohomologie Non Abélienne*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I, Birkhäuser Boston (1990), 401-476.

[3] ______, *On the Classification of 2-Gerbes and 2-Stacks*, Astérisque (1994), no. 225.

[4] K.S. Brown, *Cohomology of Groups*, corrected reprint of the 1982 original, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 87, Springer-Verlag (1994).

[5] R. Brown and C. Spencer, *G-groupoids, Crossed Modules and the Fundamental Groupoid of a Topological Group*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 79=Indag. Math. 38 (1976), no. 4, 296-302.

[6] J.L. Brylinski, *Loop Spaces, Characteristic Classes and Geometric Quantization*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1993).

[7] A. D'Agnolo and P. Polesello, *Stacks of Twisted Modules and Integral Transforms*, to appear in: Geometric Aspects of Dwork's Theory, de Gruyter (2004); see also `arXiv.org/math.AG/0307387`.

[8] S. Eilenberg and S. MacLane, *Relations between Homology and Homotopy Groups of Spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) 46, (1945), 480-509.

[9] J. Giraud, *Cohomologie Non Abélienne*, Grundlheren der Math. Wiss. 179, Springer-Verlag (1971).

[10] K.A. Hardie, K.H Kamps, R.W. Kieboom *A Homotopy Bigroupoid of a Topological Space* Appl. Categ. Structures **9** (2001), no. 3, 311–327.

[11] T. Leinster, *Higher Operads, Higher Categories*, e-print (2003), `arXiv.org/math.CT/0305049`.

[12] S. MacLane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Math. 5, Springer 2nd ed. (1998).

[13] B. Toen, *Vers une Interpretation Galoisienne de la Theorie de l'Homotopie*, Cahiers de Top. et Geom. Diff. Cat., Vol. XLIII-4 (2002), 257-312.

[14] I. Waschkies, *The Stack of Microlocal Perverse Sheaves*, to appear in Bull. d. l. SMF (2004).

[SGA1] A. Grothendieck, *Revêtements Etales et Groupe Fondamental.* With contributions by M. Raynaud. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 224, Springer (1971).

[SGA4] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, and J.L. Verdier, *Théorie des Topos et Cohomologie Etale des Schémas. Tome 1: Théorie des Topos.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 269, Springer (1972).

Pietro Polesello
Università di Padova
Dipartimento di Matematica
via G. Belzoni, 7 35131 Padova, Italy
or: Université Pierre et Marie Curie
Institut de Mathématiques
175, rue du Chevaleret, 75013 Paris France
pietro@math.jussieu.fr

Ingo Waschkies
Laboratoire J.A. Dieudonné
Université de Nice Sophia-Antipolis
Parc Valrose, 06108 Nice Cedex 2
ingo@math.unice.fr